1	WARCEL	LA KNII IEL
2 A. I don't recognize this actual document. 3 Q. You recall that there was a credit agreement between certain AHERF-related entities and PNC Bank? 4 A. Yes. 5 Bank? 6 A. Yes. 6 Q. And do you recall that that was a line of credit to 9 A. Yes. 10 Q 11 the back of the document. When you get there I was I'm going to ask you do you see that there's a signature page there? 12 the back of the document. When you get there I was I'm going to ask you do you see that there's a signature page there? 13 Q. Do you see that signing for PNC Bank there's someone named Emery D. Holloway? 14 A. Yes. 15 Q. And was he a prior relationship manager for AHERF or one its predecessors? 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. Did you have any responsibility when you were relationship manager for AHERF or any of its deposition today I mean AHERF or any of its or credit agreement while you were relationship manager for AHERF or monitor AHERF's compliance with this redit agreement? 18 A. Yes. 19 predecessors? I understand it went by different names earlier in time. 20 p. Dy ou have any responsibility when you were relationship manager for AHERF to monitor AHERF's compliance with this redit agreement? 21 A. Yes. 22 Q. And what kind of responsibility when you were relationship manager for AHERF to monitor AHERF's compliance or its affiliates? 22 compliance with this redit agreement? Maybe not this actual document, but your understanding of the fact that three was a credit agreement? Washe not this actual document, but was extended by PNC to AHERF's affiliates? 24 Q. And what kind of responsibility to make sure that everything that we needed and sear as covenants and assurances and so forth was included in the credit agreements to the extently unsecondate in the exedit agreements to the extent of the tredit agreements to the extent stand prompting them? 12 predecessors? 1 understand it went by different names earlier in time. 22 po you know who by to	Page 62	Page 64
23 Q. Let me just for simplicity's sake, when I 24 mention AHERF, is it okay for purposes of the 25 deposition today I mean AHERF or any of its Page 63 Page 63 Page 65 1 predecessors? I understand it went by 2 different names earlier in time. 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. Did you have any responsibility when you were 5 relationship manager for AHERF to monitor 6 AHERF's compliance or its affiliates' 7 compliance with this credit agreement? Maybe 8 not this actual document, but your 9 understanding of the fact that there was a 10 credit agreement? 11 A. Yes. 2 Q. And was one of your responsibilities to make 13 sure that these entities were actually 15 providing their financial statements, quarterly 16 financial statements on a timely basis? 17 Q. And do you recall also that annual financial 18 statements were provided by those entities as 19 well? 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. And, again, your responsibility would be to 12 make sure they were coming in? 13 A. Yes. 14 Q. Do you recall whether AHERF itself, the parent 15 company, presented financial statements on a 16 quarterly basis to you under this credit 17 agreement? 18 sure that they were in compliance with the 18 sure that they were in compliance with the 18 sure that they were in compliance with the 18 sure that they were in compliance with the 19 aspect any aspect of the credit agreement. 20 Q. Do you know who typically prepared any or 21 were you involved in the preparation of any 22 credit agreements between PNC Bank and any 23 credit agreements between PNC Bank and any 24 Christopher's Hospital Corporation provided to PNC 24 Horizon Medical Corporation provided to PNC 24 A. Yes. 2 Q. And was one of your responsibilities to make 3 sure that these entities were actually 4 providing their financial statements, quarterly 5 financial statements on a timely basis? 6 A. Yes. 7 Q. And do you recall also that annual financial 5 statements were provided by those entities as 6 well? 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. And, again, your responsibility ounly agreement? 12 A. Jeon. 13 A. Yes. 14 Q. Do y	A. I don't recognize this actual document. Q. You recall that there was a credit agreement between certain AHERF-related entities and PNC Bank? A. Yes. Q. And do you recall that that was a line of credit to A. Yes. Q the AHERF-related entities? Just turning for a second to the page with the Bates No. PNC 38159 in the bottom right corner, it's close to the back of the document. When you get there I was I'm going to ask you do you see that there's a signature page there? A. Yes. Do you see that signing for PNC Bank there's someone named Emery D. Holloway? A. Yes. Q. And was he a prior relationship manager for AHERF or one its predecessors?	of one of those reviewing the credit document, the credit agreements to make sure that everything that we needed as far as covenants and assurances and so forth was included in the credit agreement, alongside appropriate legal counsel. Q. Who would actually negotiate credit agreements to the extent you were involved in preparing them? A. Myself, plus our legal counsel. Q. And you said yourself and legal counsel would be involved in the drafting process whereby the credit agreements were ultimately prepared? A. That's right. Q. Turning for a second to the page with Bates No. ending 38147, do you see on this page there's a line that says, Financial and Other Reporting Information, Quarterly Financials? A. Yes. Q. Do you recall that from time to time pursuant to this credit agreement while you were
1 predecessors? I understand it went by 2 different names earlier in time. 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. Did you have any responsibility when you were 5 relationship manager for AHERF to monitor 6 AHERF's compliance or its affiliates' 7 compliance with this credit agreement? Maybe 8 not this actual document, but your 9 understanding of the fact that there was a 10 credit agreement? 11 A. Yes. 12 Q. And what kind of responsibilities did you have 13 with respect to the credit that was extended by 14 PNC to AHERF's affiliates? 15 A. For any for any credit agreement that PNC 16 had with any of the AHERF affiliates, it would 17 have been part of my responsibility to make 18 sure that these entities were actually 19 providing their financial statements, quarterly 20 And do you recall also that annual financial 21 statements were provided by those entities as 22 well? 23 well? 24 A. Yes. 25 A. Yes. 26 A. Yes. 27 Q. And day again, your responsibility would be to 28 make sure they were coming in? 29 understanding of the fact that there was a 3 sure that these entities were actually 3 providing their financial statements, quarterly 4 providing their financial statements, quarterly 5 financial statements on a timely basis? 6 A. Yes. 9 A. Yes. 10 A. Yes. 11 A. Yes. 12 Q. And do you recall also that annual financial 8 statements were provided by those entities as 9 well? 13 A. Yes. 14 Q. Do you recall whether AHERF itself, the parent 15 company, presented financial statements on a 16 quarterly basis to you under this credit 17 agreement? 18 A. I don't recall. 19 Q. Do you recall whether AHERF's credit in any way 18 backed this particular credit agreement? 20 Li don't remember. 21 A. I don't remember. 22 Q. If you turn to page ending with 38130, PNC	23 Q. Let me just for simplicity's sake, when I 24 mention AHERF, is it okay for purposes of the	23 Christopher's Hospital For Children, and 24 Horizon Medical Corporation provided to PNC
	predecessors? I understand it went by different names earlier in time. A. Yes. Q. Did you have any responsibility when you were relationship manager for AHERF to monitor AHERF's compliance or its affiliates' compliance with this credit agreement? Maybe not this actual document, but your understanding of the fact that there was a credit agreement? A. Yes. Q. And what kind of responsibilities did you have with respect to the credit that was extended by PNC to AHERF's affiliates? A. For any for any credit agreement that PNC had with any of the AHERF affiliates, it would have been part of my responsibility to make sure that they were in compliance with the aspect any aspect of the credit agreement. Q. Do you know who typically prepared any or were you involved in the preparation of any	1 A. Yes. 2 Q. And was one of your responsibilities to make 3 sure that these entities were actually 4 providing their financial statements, quarterly 5 financial statements on a timely basis? 6 A. Yes. 7 Q. And do you recall also that annual financial 8 statements were provided by those entities as 9 well? 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. And, again, your responsibility would be to 12 make sure they were coming in? 13 A. Yes. 14 Q. Do you recall whether AHERF itself, the parent 15 company, presented financial statements on a 16 quarterly basis to you under this credit 17 agreement? 18 A. I don't recall. 19 Q. Do you recall whether AHERF's credit in any way 10 backed this particular credit agreement? 21 A. I don't remember. 22 Q. If you turn to page ending with 38130, PNC

1 Q. And I take it that the senior loan committee, 2 by the fact that the bonds were issued, 3 ultimately felt that risk was one that it was 4 willing to take? 5 A. By the fact it was approved as a credit, yes. 6 MR. TERUYA: Why don't we go off the 7 record to change the tape and also break for 8 the day. 9 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now goi 10 off the record. The time is 5:02 p.m. 11 12 (The proceedings were adjourned at 5:02 p.m.) 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	6 7 8
	age 291

In The Matter Of:

AHERF v.
PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS, L.L.P.

MARCELLA KNITTEL July 24, 2003

LEGALINK MANHATTAN
420 Lexington Avenue - Suite 2108
New York, NY 10170
PH: 212-557-7400 / FAX: 212-692-9171

KNITTEL, MARCELLA



Page 49.
BY MR. TERUYA: Q. Do you recognize this document? A. Yes, this is another officer's certificate for the AGH Obligated Group submitted by Joe Dionisio to the bank, and it included covenant calculations as required under the reimbursement security agreement for the letters of credit. Q. And is this a type of officer's certificate that was sent from Allegheny General Hospital Obligated Group to PNC in the ordinary course? A. Yes. Q. And that was pursuant to the letters of credit that PNC entered into with Allegheny General Hospital Obligated Group? A. Yes. Q. Just to be clear, the last exhibit we looked at was the type of officer's certificate that was sent pursuant to the master trust indenture in the ordinary course? A. Yes. And do you recall that you received the type of officer's certificate that Exhibit 1753 is in a
25 timely fashion?
Page 4
MR. COGAN: Objection. A of my recollection, yes. Q. And, again, with respect to this kind of officer's certificate, the stamp received November 8, 1996, reflects the date that some group at PNC received this document? A. Yes. MR. TERUYA: You can set that aside, please. Could we go off the record for one moment. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are going off the video record. The time indicated on the screen is 1:04 p.m. (There was a discussion off the record.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the video record. The time as indicated on the screen is 3:10 p.m. MR. TERUYA: I have no further questions on direct. I'll cover a couple of documents that I'm waiting for on redirect.
r

MARCELLA	
Page 494	Page 496
1 2 EXAMINATION	or material misstatements? MR. TERUYA: Objection.
	3 A. Because because as lenders we place a great
3 4 BY MR. COGAN:	4 deal of reliance on the audited financial
	statements, and that's the receive that's
	6 the reason we ask to have audited financial
	7 statements on an annual basis.
	8 Q. Now, if the audited financial statements that
w 1 121 1 1	9 you were provided contained material
	misstatements or GAAP violations and as a
	result you didn't discover that there were, in
10 11	fact, debt covenant violations, would that be
	of significance to you?
1	14 A. Yes.
	MR. TERUYA: Objection.
1.1 DVOC	16 Q. And if, in fact, there had been violations of
	the various debt covenants that were contained
financial statements. Do you recall that question?	in the different credit agreements that you had
•	with AHERF and its affiliates, would you have
1	wanted to know about those violations?
	21 A. Absolutely.
	MR. TERUYA: Objection.
	23 Q. And could you just tell us if, in fact, back in
23 that right?	1996 or 1996 you had learned of debt covenant
 A. That's right. Q. Now, if, in fact, there were GAAP violations in 	violations, would you or PNC have simply
25 Q. Now, if, in fact, there were GAAP violations in Page 495	Page 497
_	ignored those debt covenant violations?
the financial statements of AHERF and DVOG, is	2 MR. TERUYA: Objection.
 that information that you would have liked to have had as the relationship manager for the 	3 A. Absolutely not.
_	4 Q. I assume it was never the practice of either
4 AHERF account? 5 A. Absolutely.	5 you as the relationship manager or in your
6 Q. And similarly, you were asked whether you had	6 experience at PNC to simply ignore debt
7 any personal knowledge of any material	7 covenant violations; is that right?
8 misstatements contained in those financial	8 MR. TERUYA: Objection.
9 statements. Do you recall that?	9 A. That's correct.
10 A. Yes.	10 Q. Typically in the face of a debt covenant
11 O. And, again, as you sit here today, I think your	violation, does that trigger some sort of
testimony was you have no personal knowledge of	12 response from PNC?
material misstatements in the financial	13 A. Yes. 14 MR. TERUYA: Objection.
statements; is that right?	14 MR. TERUYA: Objection. 15 Q. And could you tell us typically, or not
15 A. That's right.	16 typically, could you tell us what are some of
16 Q. However, can I assume that if there were 17 material misstatements in the audited financial	the things that a relationship manager might do
l	18 in the face of discovering debt covenant
statements that were provided to you and thereby to PNC, you would have liked to have	19 violations?
20 known that fact?	20 MR. TERUYA: Objection.
21 A. Yes, absolutely.	21 A. Well, the first thing we would as a
22 Q. And why would you and, of course, PNC have	22 relationship manager I would have done was
wanted to know whether the audited financial	taken it to my manager and to the appropriate
24 statements that AHERF and its obligated group	people who needed to know within PNC, and then
25 were providing to you contained GAAP violations	25 we would have done whatever was necessary to

WARCELLA	
Page 498	Page 500
the right to take certain actions. Q. And am I correct that when a debt violation occurs, that may trigger some remedies depending on the terms and conditions as they are set forth in the credit agreements? MR. TERUYA: Objection. A. Absolutely. Q. Now, one of the documents that you were shown towards the end here was a officer's certificate dated June 30th, 1996, and it was marked as Exhibit 1753. Do you have that in front of you? A. Yes. And as I would understand it, Exhibit 1753 is	foundation. A. I don't ever remember a conversation about that. Q. Two other exhibits I'd like to talk to you about. Exhibit 1739 and 1708, do you have those in front of you? A. Yes. Q. Now, Exhibit 1708 as I understand MR. TERUYA: Can you hold on for one second. Let me get those out. MR. COGAN: Yes. MR. TERUYA: Okay. Q. Just going back, can you think of any occasion as you are sitting here that you learned of a debt covenant violation for any credit for which you had a relationship management that you simply ignored the violation? A. Never. Q. Directing your attention now to Exhibit 1708, do you have that in front of you? A. Yes. Q. I understand that this is one of the quarterly credit memo forms that you completed for the Allegheny General Hospital; is that right?
the officer's certificate that was provided by Page 499 Allegheny General Hospital pursuant to the letter of credit dated February 1, 1988, and January 29, 1993; is that your understanding? A. Yes. Now, one of the covenants that AGH was required to maintain was a consolidated unrestricted fund balance of at least \$200 million; is that right? A. That's correct. Now, would you have wanted to know that or would you have wanted to know if in calculating that unrestricted fund balance Allegheny General Hospital in 1996 and in 1997 was using or including in the calculations loans to affiliates? MR. TERUYA: Objection. A. Yes. Q. Did anybody ever bring to your attention that in calculating the unrestricted fund balance pursuant to the covenants contained in those letters of credit of February 1988 and January 1993 that Allegheny General Hospital was, in fact, including loans to affiliates in calculating the unrestricted fund balance? MR. TERUYA: Objection, form and	Page 501 1 Q. And the date of this form that has been marked as Exhibit 1708 is December 31st, 1995; is that right? 4 A. That's right. 5 Q. Now, in section (a) of that form, and as Mr. Teruya pointed out, nine of those 10 categories were down, performance categories; is that right? 9 A. That's right. 10 Q. And yet when we go to section (b), you were asked whether there had been any payment delays, covenant violations well, let me back up. 14 Going back to section (a) where we have a performance that is down from the last quarterly review in nine of those 10 categories, you were asked are you concerned about these developments, are you not? 19 A. Right, yes. 20 Q. And your answer there was no? 21 A. That's right. 22 Q. Now, would I be correct that one of the reasons that you were not concerned about those down performances is because at least as of that date there had been no delays on any payments

	Page 542	1
1	COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA) CERTIFICATE	
	,	
3	I, Heidi H. Willis, RPR, CRR, a Court Reporter	
	and Notary Public in and for the Commonwealth of	
	Pennsylvania, do hereby certify that the witness,	
	MARCELLA KNITTEL, was by me first duly sworn to	
	testify to the truth, the whole truth, and nothing	
8	but the truth; that the foregoing deposition was	
9	taken at the time and place stated herein; and that	
10	the said deposition was recorded stenographically by	
11	me and then reduced to printing under my direction,	
12	and constitutes a true record of the testimony given	
13	by said witness.	S. C. Commission of the Commis
14	I further certify that I am not a relative or	
15	employee of any of the parties, or a relative or	
	employee of either counsel, and that I am in no way	
18	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand	
	and affixed my seal of office this 28th day of July,	
19		
20	2003.	
21		
22		
23	N. 1. 11	・
24	Notary Public	
25		
	Page 543	
	Page 543	The state of the s
1	COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA) ERRATA	
1 2	COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA) ERRATA COUNTY OF ALLEGHENY) SHEET 1, Marcella Knittel, have read the foregoing	
	COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA) E R R A T A COUNTY OF ALLEGHENY) S H E E T I, Marcella Knittel, have read the foregoing pages of my deposition given on Thursday, July 24,	
3	COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA) E R R A T A COUNTY OF ALLEGHENY) S H E E T I, Marcella Knittel, have read the foregoing pages of my deposition given on Thursday, July 24, 2003, and wish to make the following, if any,	
3 4	COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA) ERRATA COUNTY OF ALLEGHENY) SHEET I, Marcella Knittel, have read the foregoing pages of my deposition given on Thursday, July 24, 2003, and wish to make the following, if any, amendments, additions, deletions or corrections:	
3	COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA) ERRATA COUNTY OF ALLEGHENY) SHEET I, Marcella Knittel, have read the foregoing pages of my deposition given on Thursday, July 24, 2003, and wish to make the following, if any, amendments, additions, deletions or corrections:	
2 3 4 5 6 7	COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA) ERRATA COUNTY OF ALLEGHENY) SHEET I, Marcella Knittel, have read the foregoing pages of my deposition given on Thursday, July 24, 2003, and wish to make the following, if any, amendments, additions, deletions or corrections:	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA) ERRATA COUNTY OF ALLEGHENY) SHEET I, Marcella Knittel, have read the foregoing pages of my deposition given on Thursday, July 24, 2003, and wish to make the following, if any, amendments, additions, deletions or corrections:	
2 3 4 5 6 7	COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA) ERRATA COUNTY OF ALLEGHENY) SHEET I, Marcella Knittel, have read the foregoing pages of my deposition given on Thursday, July 24, 2003, and wish to make the following, if any, amendments, additions, deletions or corrections:	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA) ERRATA COUNTY OF ALLEGHENY) SHEET I, Marcella Knittel, have read the foregoing pages of my deposition given on Thursday, July 24, 2003, and wish to make the following, if any, amendments, additions, deletions or corrections:	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA) ERRATA COUNTY OF ALLEGHENY) SHEET I, Marcella Knittel, have read the foregoing pages of my deposition given on Thursday, July 24, 2003, and wish to make the following, if any, amendments, additions, deletions or corrections:	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA) ERRATA COUNTY OF ALLEGHENY) SHEET I, Marcella Knittel, have read the foregoing pages of my deposition given on Thursday, July 24, 2003, and wish to make the following, if any, amendments, additions, deletions or corrections:	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA) ERRATA COUNTY OF ALLEGHENY) SHEET I, Marcella Knittel, have read the foregoing pages of my deposition given on Thursday, July 24, 2003, and wish to make the following, if any, amendments, additions, deletions or corrections:	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA) ERRATA COUNTY OF ALLEGHENY) SHEET I, Marcella Knittel, have read the foregoing pages of my deposition given on Thursday, July 24, 2003, and wish to make the following, if any, amendments, additions, deletions or corrections:	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA) ERRATA COUNTY OF ALLEGHENY) SHEET I, Marcella Knittel, have read the foregoing pages of my deposition given on Thursday, July 24, 2003, and wish to make the following, if any, amendments, additions, deletions or corrections:	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA) ERRATA COUNTY OF ALLEGHENY) SHEET I, Marcella Knittel, have read the foregoing pages of my deposition given on Thursday, July 24, 2003, and wish to make the following, if any, amendments, additions, deletions or corrections:	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA) ERRATA COUNTY OF ALLEGHENY) SHEET I, Marcella Knittel, have read the foregoing pages of my deposition given on Thursday, July 24, 2003, and wish to make the following, if any, amendments, additions, deletions or corrections: Page/Line Should Read Reason for Change	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA) ERRATA COUNTY OF ALLEGHENY) SHEET I, Marcella Knittel, have read the foregoing pages of my deposition given on Thursday, July 24, 2003, and wish to make the following, if any, amendments, additions, deletions or corrections: Page/Line Should Read Reason for Change	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA) ERRATA COUNTY OF ALLEGHENY) SHEET I, Marcella Knittel, have read the foregoing pages of my deposition given on Thursday, July 24, 2003, and wish to make the following, if any, amendments, additions, deletions or corrections: Page/Line Should Read Reason for Change	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA) ERRATA COUNTY OF ALLEGHENY) SHEET I, Marcella Knittel, have read the foregoing pages of my deposition given on Thursday, July 24, 2003, and wish to make the following, if any, amendments, additions, deletions or corrections: Page/Line Should Read Reason for Change In all other respects, the transcript is true and correct. MARCELLA KNITTEL	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA) ERRATA COUNTY OF ALLEGHENY) SHEET I, Marcella Knittel, have read the foregoing pages of my deposition given on Thursday, July 24, 2003, and wish to make the following, if any, amendments, additions, deletions or corrections: Page/Line Should Read Reason for Change In all other respects, the transcript is true and correct. MARCELLA KNITTEL Subscribed and sworn to before me this	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA) ERRATA COUNTY OF ALLEGHENY) SHEET I, Marcella Knittel, have read the foregoing pages of my deposition given on Thursday, July 24, 2003, and wish to make the following, if any, amendments, additions, deletions or corrections: Page/Line Should Read Reason for Change In all other respects, the transcript is true and correct. MARCELLA KNITTEL Subscribed and sworn to before me this day of	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA) E R R A T A COUNTY OF ALLEGHENY) S H E E T I, Marcella Knittel, have read the foregoing pages of my deposition given on Thursday, July 24, 2003, and wish to make the following, if any, amendments, additions, deletions or corrections: Page/Line Should Read Reason for Change In all other respects, the transcript is true and correct. MARCELLA KNITTEL Subscribed and sworn to before me this day of, 2003	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA) E R R A T A COUNTY OF ALLEGHENY) S H E E T I, Marcella Knittel, have read the foregoing pages of my deposition given on Thursday, July 24, 2003, and wish to make the following, if any, amendments, additions, deletions or corrections: Page/Line Should Read Reason for Change In all other respects, the transcript is true and correct. MARCELLA KNITTEL Subscribed and sworn to before me this	

Korbly Dep.

Ben Korbly

		Page 1
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
	FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA	
2	Case No. 00-684	
3		
4	THE OFFICIAL COMMITTEE OF :	
	UNSECURED CREDITORS OF Deposition of:	
5	ALLEGHENY HEALTH, EDUCATION:	
	and RESEARCH FOUNDATION, BEN B. KORBLY	
6	:	
	Plaintiff,	
7	:	
ţ	vs.	
8	:	
	PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS, LLP,	
9	:	
	Defendants.	
10	:	
11		
12	TRANSCRIPT of testimony as taken by and	
13	before PATRICIA A. SANDS, a Shorthand Reporter	
14	and Notary Public of the States of New York and New	
15	Jersey, at the offices of CRAVATH, SWAINE & MOORE,	
16	LLP, 825 Eighth Avenue, New York, New York on	
17	Tuesday, December 16, 2003, commencing at 9:09 in	
18	the forenoon.	
19		
20		
21		
22		
24		
25		
•		

www.rennillo.com

	Page 222		Page 22
1	Q. Did you have a role in preparing	1	A. I believe there were.
2	either the cover memo or the attached document	2	Q. And who were they?
3	headed "Issues for consideration - retention of	3	A. I think Frank Barron may have looked at
4	PricewaterhouseCoopers"?	4	some things.
5	A. Yes.	5	Q. Do you recall what Mr. Barron looked
6	Q. And what was your role?	6	at?
7	A. I was one of the drafters.	7	A. No.
8	Q. And what was your purpose in	8	Q. Do you recall when he was first
9	preparing the document?	9	involved in your work on AHERF?
10	A. To present the issues for consideration of	10	A. No.
11	the audit committee of AHERF, and why we thought it	11	Q. But it was sometime in the late
12	made sense to continue the relationship with us.	12	summer to fall of 1998?
13	Q. And it was addressed from	13	A. It was before I visited with that group of
14	Mr. Stalder to Mr. Dionisio, at least by way of	14	regulators, as well as before I visited with the
15	cover letter, dated August 26th, 1998?	15	grand jury.
16	A. Yes.	16	Q. At least that early?
17	Q. And towards the end of the document	17	A. I would understand that somewhere I came to
18	on the final page, under the heading "Why	18	have an understanding that my firm may have been
19	PricewaterhouseCoopers is uniquely positioned to	19	contacted by the SEC or somebody on the topic of
20	assist," under the phrase "timing" or the bullet	20	AHERF. So, you know, that's an event that normally
21	point "timing" you are I presume Mr. Stalder was	21	gives you rise to talk to outside counsel.
22	the other participant in preparing the document?	22	Q. Do you recall Mr. Barron or any
23	A. He was another participant in preparing the	23	outside counsel being involved in communicating
24	document.	24	with you about AHERF matters before your knowledge
25	Q. Were there others?	25	of the SEC contact?
	Page 22	3	Page 2
1	A. I believe there probably were.	1	A. No.
-			
2	O. Do you know who they were?	2	Q. Were there any other in-house or
2	Q. Do you know who they were? A. Probably Mel. I would guess Erica Baird	2 3	Q. Were there any other in-house or Pricewaterhouse Coopers-employed, with a hyphen
3	A. Probably Mel. I would guess Erica Baird		
	A. Probably Mel. I would guess Erica Baird looked at a draft of it.	3	PricewaterhouseCoopers-employed, with a hyphen
3 4	A. Probably Mel. I would guess Erica Baird looked at a draft of it. Q. At this time were you showing	3 4	PricewaterhouseCoopers-employed, with a hyphen between those two words, lawyers that you
3 4 5	A. Probably Mel. I would guess Erica Baird looked at a draft of it.	3 4 5	PricewaterhouseCoopers-employed, with a hyphen between those two words, lawyers that you communicated with on AHERF matters, other than Ms. Baird? A. Yes.
3 4 5 6	 A. Probably Mel. I would guess Erica Baird looked at a draft of it. Q. At this time were you showing Ms. Baird, or other counsel, communications with 	3 4 5 6	PricewaterhouseCoopers-employed, with a hyphen between those two words, lawyers that you communicated with on AHERF matters, other than Ms. Baird? A. Yes. Q. And who were they?
3 4 5 6 7	 A. Probably Mel. I would guess Erica Baird looked at a draft of it. Q. At this time were you showing Ms. Baird, or other counsel, communications with AHERF in advance of their dispatch? 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	PricewaterhouseCoopers-employed, with a hyphen between those two words, lawyers that you communicated with on AHERF matters, other than Ms. Baird? A. Yes. Q. And who were they? A. It was probably I should say I believe I
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Probably Mel. I would guess Erica Baird looked at a draft of it. Q. At this time were you showing Ms. Baird, or other counsel, communications with AHERF in advance of their dispatch? A. At this time I think this is real communication that I had with AHERF, so the answer to that would be, yes.	3 4 5 6 7 8	PricewaterhouseCoopers-employed, with a hyphen between those two words, lawyers that you communicated with on AHERF matters, other than Ms. Baird? A. Yes. Q. And who were they? A. It was probably I should say I believe I could well have. Julie Crocket, who is a lawyer
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Probably Mel. I would guess Erica Baird looked at a draft of it. Q. At this time were you showing Ms. Baird, or other counsel, communications with AHERF in advance of their dispatch? A. At this time I think this is real communication that I had with AHERF, so the answer to that would be, yes. Q. And did you subsequently or after	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	PricewaterhouseCoopers-employed, with a hyphen between those two words, lawyers that you communicated with on AHERF matters, other than Ms. Baird? A. Yes. Q. And who were they? A. It was probably I should say I believe I could well have. Julie Crocket, who is a lawyer who reports to Erica Baird and who is someone I
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. Probably Mel. I would guess Erica Baird looked at a draft of it. Q. At this time were you showing Ms. Baird, or other counsel, communications with AHERF in advance of their dispatch? A. At this time I think this is real communication that I had with AHERF, so the answer to that would be, yes.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	PricewaterhouseCoopers-employed, with a hyphen between those two words, lawyers that you communicated with on AHERF matters, other than Ms. Baird? A. Yes. Q. And who were they? A. It was probably I should say I believe I could well have. Julie Crocket, who is a lawyer who reports to Erica Baird and who is someone I work with quite commonly. So
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. Probably Mel. I would guess Erica Baird looked at a draft of it. Q. At this time were you showing Ms. Baird, or other counsel, communications with AHERF in advance of their dispatch? A. At this time I think this is real communication that I had with AHERF, so the answer to that would be, yes. Q. And did you subsequently or after	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	PricewaterhouseCoopers-employed, with a hyphen between those two words, lawyers that you communicated with on AHERF matters, other than Ms. Baird? A. Yes. Q. And who were they? A. It was probably I should say I believe I could well have. Julie Crocket, who is a lawyer who reports to Erica Baird and who is someone I work with quite commonly. So Q. Go ahead.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Probably Mel. I would guess Erica Baird looked at a draft of it. Q. At this time were you showing Ms. Baird, or other counsel, communications with AHERF in advance of their dispatch? A. At this time I think this is real communication that I had with AHERF, so the answer to that would be, yes. Q. And did you subsequently or after this communication run communications by Ms. Baird or other counsel first? A. Not all of them.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	PricewaterhouseCoopers-employed, with a hyphen between those two words, lawyers that you communicated with on AHERF matters, other than Ms. Baird? A. Yes. Q. And who were they? A. It was probably I should say I believe I could well have. Julie Crocket, who is a lawyer who reports to Erica Baird and who is someone I work with quite commonly. So Q. Go ahead. A. More on the, you know, what makes the most
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Probably Mel. I would guess Erica Baird looked at a draft of it. Q. At this time were you showing Ms. Baird, or other counsel, communications with AHERF in advance of their dispatch? A. At this time I think this is real communication that I had with AHERF, so the answer to that would be, yes. Q. And did you subsequently or after this communication run communications by Ms. Baird or other counsel first?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	PricewaterhouseCoopers-employed, with a hyphen between those two words, lawyers that you communicated with on AHERF matters, other than Ms. Baird? A. Yes. Q. And who were they? A. It was probably I should say I believe I could well have. Julie Crocket, who is a lawyer who reports to Erica Baird and who is someone I work with quite commonly. So Q. Go ahead. A. More on the, you know, what makes the most developing editorial sense of, you know, how do I
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Probably Mel. I would guess Erica Baird looked at a draft of it. Q. At this time were you showing Ms. Baird, or other counsel, communications with AHERF in advance of their dispatch? A. At this time I think this is real communication that I had with AHERF, so the answer to that would be, yes. Q. And did you subsequently or after this communication run communications by Ms. Baird or other counsel first? A. Not all of them. Q. But significant communications you did?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	PricewaterhouseCoopers-employed, with a hyphen between those two words, lawyers that you communicated with on AHERF matters, other than Ms. Baird? A. Yes. Q. And who were they? A. It was probably I should say I believe I could well have. Julie Crocket, who is a lawyer who reports to Erica Baird and who is someone I work with quite commonly. So Q. Go ahead. A. More on the, you know, what makes the most developing editorial sense of, you know, how do I construct, how do I write, what do I say. Because
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Probably Mel. I would guess Erica Baird looked at a draft of it. Q. At this time were you showing Ms. Baird, or other counsel, communications with AHERF in advance of their dispatch? A. At this time I think this is real communication that I had with AHERF, so the answer to that would be, yes. Q. And did you subsequently or after this communication run communications by Ms. Baird or other counsel first? A. Not all of them. Q. But significant communications you did? A. You would use the word communications	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	PricewaterhouseCoopers-employed, with a hyphen between those two words, lawyers that you communicated with on AHERF matters, other than Ms. Baird? A. Yes. Q. And who were they? A. It was probably I should say I believe I could well have. Julie Crocket, who is a lawyer who reports to Erica Baird and who is someone I work with quite commonly. So Q. Go ahead. A. More on the, you know, what makes the most developing editorial sense of, you know, how do I construct, how do I write, what do I say. Because Erica might not have been available to answer the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Probably Mel. I would guess Erica Baird looked at a draft of it. Q. At this time were you showing Ms. Baird, or other counsel, communications with AHERF in advance of their dispatch? A. At this time I think this is real communication that I had with AHERF, so the answer to that would be, yes. Q. And did you subsequently or after this communication run communications by Ms. Baird or other counsel first? A. Not all of them. Q. But significant communications you did? A. You would use the word communications regarding a significant and potentially	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	PricewaterhouseCoopers-employed, with a hyphen between those two words, lawyers that you communicated with on AHERF matters, other than Ms. Baird? A. Yes. Q. And who were they? A. It was probably I should say I believe I could well have. Julie Crocket, who is a lawyer who reports to Erica Baird and who is someone I work with quite commonly. So Q. Go ahead. A. More on the, you know, what makes the most developing editorial sense of, you know, how do I construct, how do I write, what do I say. Because Erica might not have been available to answer the phone or whatever.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Probably Mel. I would guess Erica Baird looked at a draft of it. Q. At this time were you showing Ms. Baird, or other counsel, communications with AHERF in advance of their dispatch? A. At this time I think this is real communication that I had with AHERF, so the answer to that would be, yes. Q. And did you subsequently or after this communication run communications by Ms. Baird or other counsel first? A. Not all of them. Q. But significant communications you did? A. You would use the word communications regarding a significant and potentially controversial topic. How's that?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	PricewaterhouseCoopers-employed, with a hyphen between those two words, lawyers that you communicated with on AHERF matters, other than Ms. Baird? A. Yes. Q. And who were they? A. It was probably I should say I believe I could well have. Julie Crocket, who is a lawyer who reports to Erica Baird and who is someone I work with quite commonly. So Q. Go ahead. A. More on the, you know, what makes the most developing editorial sense of, you know, how do I construct, how do I write, what do I say. Because Erica might not have been available to answer the phone or whatever. Q. As a matter of your practice, you
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Probably Mel. I would guess Erica Baird looked at a draft of it. Q. At this time were you showing Ms. Baird, or other counsel, communications with AHERF in advance of their dispatch? A. At this time I think this is real communication that I had with AHERF, so the answer to that would be, yes. Q. And did you subsequently or after this communication run communications by Ms. Baird or other counsel first? A. Not all of them. Q. But significant communications you did? A. You would use the word communications regarding a significant and potentially controversial topic. How's that? Q. Is that your phrase?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	PricewaterhouseCoopers-employed, with a hyphen between those two words, lawyers that you communicated with on AHERF matters, other than Ms. Baird? A. Yes. Q. And who were they? A. It was probably I should say I believe I could well have. Julie Crocket, who is a lawyer who reports to Erica Baird and who is someone I work with quite commonly. So Q. Go ahead. A. More on the, you know, what makes the most developing editorial sense of, you know, how do I construct, how do I write, what do I say. Because Erica might not have been available to answer the phone or whatever. Q. As a matter of your practice, you commonly work with this other lawyer as well?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Probably Mel. I would guess Erica Baird looked at a draft of it. Q. At this time were you showing Ms. Baird, or other counsel, communications with AHERF in advance of their dispatch? A. At this time I think this is real communication that I had with AHERF, so the answer to that would be, yes. Q. And did you subsequently or after this communication run communications by Ms. Baird or other counsel first? A. Not all of them. Q. But significant communications you did? A. You would use the word communications regarding a significant and potentially controversial topic. How's that? Q. Is that your phrase? A. That will be my phrase.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	PricewaterhouseCoopers-employed, with a hyphen between those two words, lawyers that you communicated with on AHERF matters, other than Ms. Baird? A. Yes. Q. And who were they? A. It was probably I should say I believe I could well have. Julie Crocket, who is a lawyer who reports to Erica Baird and who is someone I work with quite commonly. So Q. Go ahead. A. More on the, you know, what makes the most developing editorial sense of, you know, how do I construct, how do I write, what do I say. Because Erica might not have been available to answer the phone or whatever. Q. As a matter of your practice, you commonly work with this other lawyer as well? A. Yes.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. Probably Mel. I would guess Erica Baird looked at a draft of it. Q. At this time were you showing Ms. Baird, or other counsel, communications with AHERF in advance of their dispatch? A. At this time I think this is real communication that I had with AHERF, so the answer to that would be, yes. Q. And did you subsequently or after this communication run communications by Ms. Baird or other counsel first? A. Not all of them. Q. But significant communications you did? A. You would use the word communications regarding a significant and potentially controversial topic. How's that? Q. Is that your phrase? A. That will be my phrase. Q. All right. And were there other	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	PricewaterhouseCoopers-employed, with a hyphen between those two words, lawyers that you communicated with on AHERF matters, other than Ms. Baird? A. Yes. Q. And who were they? A. It was probably I should say I believe I could well have. Julie Crocket, who is a lawyer who reports to Erica Baird and who is someone I work with quite commonly. So Q. Go ahead. A. More on the, you know, what makes the most developing editorial sense of, you know, how do I construct, how do I write, what do I say. Because Erica might not have been available to answer the phone or whatever. Q. As a matter of your practice, you commonly work with this other lawyer as well? A. Yes. Q. And did so at the time?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Probably Mel. I would guess Erica Baird looked at a draft of it. Q. At this time were you showing Ms. Baird, or other counsel, communications with AHERF in advance of their dispatch? A. At this time I think this is real communication that I had with AHERF, so the answer to that would be, yes. Q. And did you subsequently or after this communication run communications by Ms. Baird or other counsel first? A. Not all of them. Q. But significant communications you did? A. You would use the word communications regarding a significant and potentially controversial topic. How's that? Q. Is that your phrase? A. That will be my phrase. Q. All right. And were there other lawyers besides Ms. Baird that you ran	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	PricewaterhouseCoopers-employed, with a hyphen between those two words, lawyers that you communicated with on AHERF matters, other than Ms. Baird? A. Yes. Q. And who were they? A. It was probably I should say I believe I could well have. Julie Crocket, who is a lawyer who reports to Erica Baird and who is someone I work with quite commonly. So Q. Go ahead. A. More on the, you know, what makes the most developing editorial sense of, you know, how do I construct, how do I write, what do I say. Because Erica might not have been available to answer the phone or whatever. Q. As a matter of your practice, you commonly work with this other lawyer as well? A. Yes. Q. And did so at the time? A. Yes.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Probably Mel. I would guess Erica Baird looked at a draft of it. Q. At this time were you showing Ms. Baird, or other counsel, communications with AHERF in advance of their dispatch? A. At this time I think this is real communication that I had with AHERF, so the answer to that would be, yes. Q. And did you subsequently or after this communication run communications by Ms. Baird or other counsel first? A. Not all of them. Q. But significant communications you did? A. You would use the word communications regarding a significant and potentially controversial topic. How's that? Q. Is that your phrase? A. That will be my phrase. Q. All right. And were there other lawyers besides Ms. Baird that you ran communications or other documents by during your	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	PricewaterhouseCoopers-employed, with a hyphen between those two words, lawyers that you communicated with on AHERF matters, other than Ms. Baird? A. Yes. Q. And who were they? A. It was probably I should say I believe I could well have. Julie Crocket, who is a lawyer who reports to Erica Baird and who is someone I work with quite commonly. So Q. Go ahead. A. More on the, you know, what makes the most developing editorial sense of, you know, how do I construct, how do I write, what do I say. Because Erica might not have been available to answer the phone or whatever. Q. As a matter of your practice, you commonly work with this other lawyer as well? A. Yes. Q. And did so at the time? A. Yes. Q. And where are the two lawyers
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Probably Mel. I would guess Erica Baird looked at a draft of it. Q. At this time were you showing Ms. Baird, or other counsel, communications with AHERF in advance of their dispatch? A. At this time I think this is real communication that I had with AHERF, so the answer to that would be, yes. Q. And did you subsequently or after this communication run communications by Ms. Baird or other counsel first? A. Not all of them. Q. But significant communications you did? A. You would use the word communications regarding a significant and potentially controversial topic. How's that? Q. Is that your phrase? A. That will be my phrase. Q. All right. And were there other lawyers besides Ms. Baird that you ran	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	PricewaterhouseCoopers-employed, with a hyphen between those two words, lawyers that you communicated with on AHERF matters, other than Ms. Baird? A. Yes. Q. And who were they? A. It was probably I should say I believe I could well have. Julie Crocket, who is a lawyer who reports to Erica Baird and who is someone I work with quite commonly. So Q. Go ahead. A. More on the, you know, what makes the most developing editorial sense of, you know, how do I construct, how do I write, what do I say. Because Erica might not have been available to answer the phone or whatever. Q. As a matter of your practice, you commonly work with this other lawyer as well? A. Yes. Q. And did so at the time? A. Yes.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. Probably Mel. I would guess Erica Baird looked at a draft of it. Q. At this time were you showing Ms. Baird, or other counsel, communications with AHERF in advance of their dispatch? A. At this time I think this is real communication that I had with AHERF, so the answer to that would be, yes. Q. And did you subsequently or after this communication run communications by Ms. Baird or other counsel first? A. Not all of them. Q. But significant communications you did? A. You would use the word communications regarding a significant and potentially controversial topic. How's that? Q. Is that your phrase? A. That will be my phrase. Q. All right. And were there other lawyers besides Ms. Baird that you ran communications or other documents by during your	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	PricewaterhouseCoopers-employed, with a hyphen between those two words, lawyers that you communicated with on AHERF matters, other than Ms. Baird? A. Yes. Q. And who were they? A. It was probably I should say I believe I could well have. Julie Crocket, who is a lawyer who reports to Erica Baird and who is someone I work with quite commonly. So Q. Go ahead. A. More on the, you know, what makes the most developing editorial sense of, you know, how do I construct, how do I write, what do I say. Because Erica might not have been available to answer the phone or whatever. Q. As a matter of your practice, you commonly work with this other lawyer as well? A. Yes. Q. And did so at the time? A. Yes. Q. And where are the two lawyers

Ben Korbly

1 2	Page 226		Page 228
2	A. 1301 Sixth Avenue, New York.	1	Is that fair?
_	Q. And were they so situated in the	2	A. Under Roman numeral IV, item A?
3	fall and summer of 1998?	3	Q. Yes.
4	A. Actually, that's where they were the fall	4	A. Yes, there is reference.
5	of 1998. They have now moved down to 1177 Avenue	5	Q. Is the handwriting that appears on
6	of the Americas.	6	either side of the paragraph below Roman numeral
7	Q. Here in New York?	7	IV A yours?
8	A. Yes.	8	A. No.
9	Q. And do you believe that this	9	Q. Is the handwriting on the rest of
10	memorandum entitled "Issues for Consideration -	10	the document, and it appears only limitedly, yours?
11	Retention of PricewaterhouseCoopers" fairly	11	A. The handwriting that appears only limitedly
12	presented the reasons for continuing to retain	12	is not mine.
13	PricewaterhouseCoopers for the work underway?	13	Q. In your prior review of the minutes
14	A. You used the term "fairly presented,"	14	have you had any opportunity to notice anything
15	that's like just an English term, not a term of art	15	inaccurate in them?
16	of an auditor?	16	MR. RYAN: Objection.
17	Q. I did not mean it as a term of art.	17	A. Yes.
18	A. Because I cannot respond as a term of art,	18	Q. What is it that you have noticed?
19	as a term of English, yes.	19	A. My name is misspelled.
20	Q. Thank you.	20	Q. Anything else?
21	I'm going to hand you now what has	21	MR. RYAN: Objection.
22	previously been marked in this case as Exhibit	22	A. I believe that if these are draft minutes
23	2105, and ask you if you have ever seen it before?	23	as it is marked at the top, I don't know who marked
24	It is, for the record, or at least	24	"draft," then we would have reviewed them and
25	purports to be for the record, a set of Allegheny	25	provided commentary on their accuracy. And without
1	Page 227 Health Education and Research Foundation finance	1	an opportunity to sit for several hours and read
2	and audit committee minutes for the meeting held on	2	and contemplate, I don't know what other redactions
3	Thursday, August 27, 1998.	3	we might suggest.
4	A. I have seen the document, yes.	4	Q. And you, in fact, recall either from
5	Q. Do you recall when you saw it?	5	your work yesterday or just generally, that you did
6	A. Most recently, yesterday.	6	have an opportunity or took an opportunity rather
7	Q. Did you see it before yesterday?	7	to offer some comments on at least certain sets of
8	A. I don't have a positive recollection.	8	minutes of AHERF committee meetings?
9	Q. It notes your presence at the	9	A. Yes, we did.
10	meeting under the heading "Other Invitees" along	10	Q. And was the "we" in that sentence,
11	with your colleague, Mr. Stalder.	11	in fact, you?
12	Am I right?	12	A. Among others, it was me. Q. Was it a sort of a joint or
13	A. Yes.	13	cumulative effort?
14	Q. Do you recall attending a meeting in	14	
15	late August?	15	A. Yes. Q. But it was communicated only one
16	A. Yes.	16 17	time?
17	Q. And it was indeed the first meeting	18	A. Yes.
18	of the AHERF finance and audit committee, or any	19	Q. And by you?
19	AHERF board committee that you did attend?	20	A. It may have been under my signature.
20	A. Yes.	21	Q. As we don't have time for the hours
21	Q. And under heading IV on page 2, you	22	of reflection, I will show you the communication of
	and Mr. Stalder appear by name after the name of	23	suggested edits. And it is a document which falls
22		1.2.3	Suzzesieu euits. And it is a ubcument which faits
22 23	Joseph Dionisio, and under the subheading		
22	"Potential Restatement of Prior Year Financial Statements and Communication Plan."	24 25	under the prior Exhibit number 1985. A. (Reviewing document.)

	Page 230			Page 232
1	Q. Is this the set of minute, suggested	1	pass it along and that he passes it along to the	
2 m	inute edits that you were just discussing with me?	2	lawyers for their consideration, which led me to	
3 A		3	think that he was not expecting the communication	
4	Q. And you did your best, with the	4	from you.	
	nput of others, to give all of the edits to the	5	Do you recall now whether you were	
	ninutes that are attached to your letter of	6	asked to provide edits, or whether you provided	
	lovember 20th, 1998 that you thought were	7	them, as you say, in a proactive way?	
	ppropriate?	8	MR. RYAN: Objection.	
9	Is that fair?	9	A. I would tell you I would understand he was	
0 A		10	expecting them, and I don't see how you can read	
1	Q. And the cover letter, which is	11	his letter uniquely to say what you've interpreted	
	ctually pages 2 and 3 of the exhibit, bears your	12	it to say.	
	ignature?	13 14	Q. Well, I don't know that I have interpreted it to say anything other than what it	
	A. No.	15	says, which was my paraphrase of it.	
5	Q. It is signed for you by someone	16	A. It doesn't say I'm surprised to receive it.	
	lse? A. Yes.	17	I believe it says I don't quite know what to make	
17 A 18	A. Yes. Q. And do you know who that someone	18	of it.	
	vas?	19	Q. Right. Here's my question:	
	A. No.	20	Do you recall being asked to submit	
21	Q. Did you ever have occasion to,	21	edits on any committee meeting, edits on the	
	ncluding today, to disagree with its content?	22	minutes of any committee meeting?	
	A. The letter's content?	23	A. Yes.	
24	Q. Yes. Or the edits, for that matter.	24	Q. And from whom did you receive that	
	A. No.	25	request?	
	Page 231			Page 23
1	Q. Did you authorize someone to sign it	1	A. As it states in my letter to David Barnes,	
	on your behalf and dispatch it?	2	"You indicated you would appreciate our input."	
	A. Yes.	3	Q. And so you recall a conversation	
4	Q. You just can't recall who it was; is	4	with Mr. Barnes today, or is it just you're relying	
5 t	hat right?	5	on the written document?	
6 A	A. That's correct.	6	A. I don't know that it was a conversation as	
7	Q. Why did you take the opportunity to	7	much as it was a meeting with the audit committee.	
8 s	send the suggested edits to the August 27, 1998,	8	Q. Do you recall the meeting or what,	
	September 1, 1998 finance and audit committee	9	the conversation in the meeting?	
	minutes along with a set of edits on a March 11th,	10	A. No.	
	1998 meeting?	11	Q. Had you ever in your audit practice	
	A. The latter two, August 27 to September 1,	12	before provided comments on minutes that you	
	1998 were the dates for meetings which I attended.	13	attended? A. Yes.	
14	Q. Yes.	14	A. Yes. Q. To audit committees?	
	A. And someone had asked for our comments.	15 16	A. Without fail.	
16	Q. Do you know who had asked?	17	Q. All right.	
	A. I thought it was the chair. Q. Well, the reason I	18	A. It's a required practice.	
18	00 1.1 1 1 1	19	Q. And	
	A. Or we pro offered that we had comments, at any rate, and they said they would appreciate our	20	A. If there's information that is or can be	
/11 :	input.	21	materially misinterpreted, you have a professional	
	O. And the reason I ask is the cover	22	responsibility to attempt to have the record	
21			redacted.	
21 i 22		23	içuacicu.	
21 i 22 23 i	letter to Mr. Barnes from a set of lawyers	23 24		
21 i 22 23 i 24 i		23 24 25		

Ben Korbly

	Page	258		Page 2
	We never agreed with that. They were immaterial,	1	THE VIDEO OPERATOR: We are back on	
	so there wasn't the generally accepted accounting	2	the record at 3:47 p.m.	
	principles for those transactions changed during	3	BY MR. JONES:	
	that era, and did require that they be put on the	4	Q. Mr. Korbly, I hand you now what has	
	books.	5	been marked as Exhibit 1289 in these proceedings,	
	But, technically speaking, they were	6	which is a copy of a memo from Anthony Sanzo to	
	not violating accounting rules by not presenting	7	various, apparently lists of individuals at AHERF	
	them on the books. They were violating rules of	8	dated September 2, 1998 attaching a press release	
	forthrightness with the auditor by not telling them	9	for immediate release with a contact person with	
	about the plans.	10	the name of Thomas Chakurda.	
	The third item had to do with the	11	Have I described the exhibit	
	ninety-nine. And as we left the party, it was	12	accurately?	
	unsubstantiated that the adjustment that Joe	13	A. Yeah, yes.	
	proposed in 1996 belong there.	14	Q. And is this a copy, that is page 2	
	Q. What adjustment was that?	15	of the document, a copy of the press release to	
	A. He was proposing some bifurcation of the	16	which you were referring before we took our last	
	ninety-nine that went back against the he was	17	break?	
	proposing some bifurcation of the \$99 million so	18	A. Yes.	
	that some of it went back to the June 30th of 1996	19	Q. And this is the press release in	
	accounts, some went to the June of '97. We had no	20	final form, to the best of your recollection, that	
	evidence that supported his argument for '96. So I	21	PricewaterhouseCoopers approved for release?	
	don't think we ever brought that to resolution	22	MR. RYAN: Objection.	
	either.	23	A. We I don't think we had the authority to	
ļ 5	Q. Was it your conclusion, however, that at least the '97 financial statements needed	24 25	approve it for release. I think our role was we agreed with how we were being described in it.	
	Page	ge 259		Page
	to be restated for some portion of the ninety-nine,	1	Q. And how did you communicate that	
	if the other issues could be resolved, that is the	2	agreement?	
	Lockhart issue?	3	A. We talked to Joe, or whomever.	
	A. No.	4	Q. And when you say the "we", you mean	
	A. No. Q. And why is that?	4 5	Q. And when you say the "we", you mean the phrase that refers to Pricewaterhouse Coopers in	ı
		II -	Q. And when you say the "we", you mean the phrase that refers to PricewaterhouseCoopers in or about the third line of the press release?	ı
	Q. And why is that?	5	Q. And when you say the "we", you mean the phrase that refers to Pricewaterhouse Coopers in or about the third line of the press release? A. And what follows that. You know, one of	ı
	Q. And why is that? A. Materiality was an assessment that would have to have been made. Q. And you didn't make it?	5 6 7 8	Q. And when you say the "we", you mean the phrase that refers to PricewaterhouseCoopers in or about the third line of the press release? A. And what follows that. You know, one of the points, the only time you see the word	ı
	Q. And why is that? A. Materiality was an assessment that would have to have been made. Q. And you didn't make it? A. We didn't have the numbers in which to make	5 6 7 8 9	Q. And when you say the "we", you mean the phrase that refers to PricewaterhouseCoopers in or about the third line of the press release? A. And what follows that. You know, one of the points, the only time you see the word "restatement" is you see "considers restatement",	1
	Q. And why is that? A. Materiality was an assessment that would have to have been made. Q. And you didn't make it?	5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. And when you say the "we", you mean the phrase that refers to PricewaterhouseCoopers in or about the third line of the press release? A. And what follows that. You know, one of the points, the only time you see the word "restatement" is you see "considers restatement", and the signal then in the body of the memo is	1
;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;	Q. And why is that? A. Materiality was an assessment that would have to have been made. Q. And you didn't make it? A. We didn't have the numbers in which to make it, because you have to have all of the preliminary numbers.	5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. And when you say the "we", you mean the phrase that refers to PricewaterhouseCoopers in or about the third line of the press release? A. And what follows that. You know, one of the points, the only time you see the word "restatement" is you see "considers restatement", and the signal then in the body of the memo is that, they, AHERF believes their financial	1
;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;	Q. And why is that? A. Materiality was an assessment that would have to have been made. Q. And you didn't make it? A. We didn't have the numbers in which to make it, because you have to have all of the preliminary numbers. Q. And is it fair to say, then, that	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. And when you say the "we", you mean the phrase that refers to PricewaterhouseCoopers in or about the third line of the press release? A. And what follows that. You know, one of the points, the only time you see the word "restatement" is you see "considers restatement", and the signal then in the body of the memo is that, they, AHERF believes their financial statements may require revision.	1
)	Q. And why is that? A. Materiality was an assessment that would have to have been made. Q. And you didn't make it? A. We didn't have the numbers in which to make it, because you have to have all of the preliminary numbers. Q. And is it fair to say, then, that the work just wasn't completed that would allow you	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. And when you say the "we", you mean the phrase that refers to PricewaterhouseCoopers in or about the third line of the press release? A. And what follows that. You know, one of the points, the only time you see the word "restatement" is you see "considers restatement", and the signal then in the body of the memo is that, they, AHERF believes their financial statements may require revision. Q. And I guess I'm trying to do this	ı
) } }	Q. And why is that? A. Materiality was an assessment that would have to have been made. Q. And you didn't make it? A. We didn't have the numbers in which to make it, because you have to have all of the preliminary numbers. Q. And is it fair to say, then, that the work just wasn't completed that would allow you to make the determination?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. And when you say the "we", you mean the phrase that refers to PricewaterhouseCoopers in or about the third line of the press release? A. And what follows that. You know, one of the points, the only time you see the word "restatement" is you see "considers restatement", and the signal then in the body of the memo is that, they, AHERF believes their financial statements may require revision. Q. And I guess I'm trying to do this fairly straightforwardly. In any event, you saw	1
)	Q. And why is that? A. Materiality was an assessment that would have to have been made. Q. And you didn't make it? A. We didn't have the numbers in which to make it, because you have to have all of the preliminary numbers. Q. And is it fair to say, then, that the work just wasn't completed that would allow you to make the determination? A. The client did not do the things necessary	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. And when you say the "we", you mean the phrase that refers to PricewaterhouseCoopers in or about the third line of the press release? A. And what follows that. You know, one of the points, the only time you see the word "restatement" is you see "considers restatement", and the signal then in the body of the memo is that, they, AHERF believes their financial statements may require revision. Q. And I guess I'm trying to do this fairly straightforwardly. In any event, you saw personally a copy of this press release with this	1
2 3 4 5 6	Q. And why is that? A. Materiality was an assessment that would have to have been made. Q. And you didn't make it? A. We didn't have the numbers in which to make it, because you have to have all of the preliminary numbers. Q. And is it fair to say, then, that the work just wasn't completed that would allow you to make the determination? A. The client did not do the things necessary to facilitate supporting their own assertion of	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. And when you say the "we", you mean the phrase that refers to PricewaterhouseCoopers in or about the third line of the press release? A. And what follows that. You know, one of the points, the only time you see the word "restatement" is you see "considers restatement", and the signal then in the body of the memo is that, they, AHERF believes their financial statements may require revision. Q. And I guess I'm trying to do this fairly straightforwardly. In any event, you saw personally a copy of this press release with this text before it went out, and voiced to those	
223	Q. And why is that? A. Materiality was an assessment that would have to have been made. Q. And you didn't make it? A. We didn't have the numbers in which to make it, because you have to have all of the preliminary numbers. Q. And is it fair to say, then, that the work just wasn't completed that would allow you to make the determination? A. The client did not do the things necessary to facilitate supporting their own assertion of restatement and to support our auditing it.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. And when you say the "we", you mean the phrase that refers to PricewaterhouseCoopers in or about the third line of the press release? A. And what follows that. You know, one of the points, the only time you see the word "restatement" is you see "considers restatement", and the signal then in the body of the memo is that, they, AHERF believes their financial statements may require revision. Q. And I guess I'm trying to do this fairly straightforwardly. In any event, you saw personally a copy of this press release with this text before it went out, and voiced to those sending it out that you had no objection to the way	1
3)) 1 2 3 4 5 5 6 7 3	Q. And why is that? A. Materiality was an assessment that would have to have been made. Q. And you didn't make it? A. We didn't have the numbers in which to make it, because you have to have all of the preliminary numbers. Q. And is it fair to say, then, that the work just wasn't completed that would allow you to make the determination? A. The client did not do the things necessary to facilitate supporting their own assertion of restatement and to support our auditing it. Q. At least at the time you were	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. And when you say the "we", you mean the phrase that refers to PricewaterhouseCoopers in or about the third line of the press release? A. And what follows that. You know, one of the points, the only time you see the word "restatement" is you see "considers restatement", and the signal then in the body of the memo is that, they, AHERF believes their financial statements may require revision. Q. And I guess I'm trying to do this fairly straightforwardly. In any event, you saw personally a copy of this press release with this text before it went out, and voiced to those sending it out that you had no objection to the way PricewaterhouseCoopers was presented in the pressure.	1
3)) 1 2 3 4 5 5 6 7 8 9	Q. And why is that? A. Materiality was an assessment that would have to have been made. Q. And you didn't make it? A. We didn't have the numbers in which to make it, because you have to have all of the preliminary numbers. Q. And is it fair to say, then, that the work just wasn't completed that would allow you to make the determination? A. The client did not do the things necessary to facilitate supporting their own assertion of restatement and to support our auditing it. Q. At least at the time you were separating from your work on the matter?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. And when you say the "we", you mean the phrase that refers to PricewaterhouseCoopers in or about the third line of the press release? A. And what follows that. You know, one of the points, the only time you see the word "restatement" is you see "considers restatement", and the signal then in the body of the memo is that, they, AHERF believes their financial statements may require revision. Q. And I guess I'm trying to do this fairly straightforwardly. In any event, you saw personally a copy of this press release with this text before it went out, and voiced to those sending it out that you had no objection to the way PricewaterhouseCoopers was presented in the pres release?	1
1 5 7 3 9 1 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0	Q. And why is that? A. Materiality was an assessment that would have to have been made. Q. And you didn't make it? A. We didn't have the numbers in which to make it, because you have to have all of the preliminary numbers. Q. And is it fair to say, then, that the work just wasn't completed that would allow you to make the determination? A. The client did not do the things necessary to facilitate supporting their own assertion of restatement and to support our auditing it. Q. At least at the time you were separating from your work on the matter? A. Yes.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. And when you say the "we", you mean the phrase that refers to PricewaterhouseCoopers in or about the third line of the press release? A. And what follows that. You know, one of the points, the only time you see the word "restatement" is you see "considers restatement", and the signal then in the body of the memo is that, they, AHERF believes their financial statements may require revision. Q. And I guess I'm trying to do this fairly straightforwardly. In any event, you saw personally a copy of this press release with this text before it went out, and voiced to those sending it out that you had no objection to the way PricewaterhouseCoopers was presented in the pres release? Is that fair?	1
3)) 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1	Q. And why is that? A. Materiality was an assessment that would have to have been made. Q. And you didn't make it? A. We didn't have the numbers in which to make it, because you have to have all of the preliminary numbers. Q. And is it fair to say, then, that the work just wasn't completed that would allow you to make the determination? A. The client did not do the things necessary to facilitate supporting their own assertion of restatement and to support our auditing it. Q. At least at the time you were separating from your work on the matter? A. Yes. MR. JONES: Let's take a quick break	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. And when you say the "we", you mean the phrase that refers to PricewaterhouseCoopers in or about the third line of the press release? A. And what follows that. You know, one of the points, the only time you see the word "restatement" is you see "considers restatement", and the signal then in the body of the memo is that, they, AHERF believes their financial statements may require revision. Q. And I guess I'm trying to do this fairly straightforwardly. In any event, you saw personally a copy of this press release with this text before it went out, and voiced to those sending it out that you had no objection to the way PricewaterhouseCoopers was presented in the pres release? Is that fair? A. That's fine.	<i>'</i>
1	Q. And why is that? A. Materiality was an assessment that would have to have been made. Q. And you didn't make it? A. We didn't have the numbers in which to make it, because you have to have all of the preliminary numbers. Q. And is it fair to say, then, that the work just wasn't completed that would allow you to make the determination? A. The client did not do the things necessary to facilitate supporting their own assertion of restatement and to support our auditing it. Q. At least at the time you were separating from your work on the matter? A. Yes. MR. JONES: Let's take a quick break here.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. And when you say the "we", you mean the phrase that refers to PricewaterhouseCoopers in or about the third line of the press release? A. And what follows that. You know, one of the points, the only time you see the word "restatement" is you see "considers restatement", and the signal then in the body of the memo is that, they, AHERF believes their financial statements may require revision. Q. And I guess I'm trying to do this fairly straightforwardly. In any event, you saw personally a copy of this press release with this text before it went out, and voiced to those sending it out that you had no objection to the way PricewaterhouseCoopers was presented in the pres release? Is that fair? A. That's fine. Q. And including Price Waterhouse's	/
1 5 7 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3	Q. And why is that? A. Materiality was an assessment that would have to have been made. Q. And you didn't make it? A. We didn't have the numbers in which to make it, because you have to have all of the preliminary numbers. Q. And is it fair to say, then, that the work just wasn't completed that would allow you to make the determination? A. The client did not do the things necessary to facilitate supporting their own assertion of restatement and to support our auditing it. Q. At least at the time you were separating from your work on the matter? A. Yes. MR. JONES: Let's take a quick break here. THE VIDEO OPERATOR: Going off the	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. And when you say the "we", you mean the phrase that refers to PricewaterhouseCoopers in or about the third line of the press release? A. And what follows that. You know, one of the points, the only time you see the word "restatement" is you see "considers restatement", and the signal then in the body of the memo is that, they, AHERF believes their financial statements may require revision. Q. And I guess I'm trying to do this fairly straightforwardly. In any event, you saw personally a copy of this press release with this text before it went out, and voiced to those sending it out that you had no objection to the way PricewaterhouseCoopers was presented in the pres release? Is that fair? A. That's fine. Q. And including Price Waterhouse's role with respect to anything said in the press	1
1	Q. And why is that? A. Materiality was an assessment that would have to have been made. Q. And you didn't make it? A. We didn't have the numbers in which to make it, because you have to have all of the preliminary numbers. Q. And is it fair to say, then, that the work just wasn't completed that would allow you to make the determination? A. The client did not do the things necessary to facilitate supporting their own assertion of restatement and to support our auditing it. Q. At least at the time you were separating from your work on the matter? A. Yes. MR. JONES: Let's take a quick break here.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. And when you say the "we", you mean the phrase that refers to PricewaterhouseCoopers in or about the third line of the press release? A. And what follows that. You know, one of the points, the only time you see the word "restatement" is you see "considers restatement", and the signal then in the body of the memo is that, they, AHERF believes their financial statements may require revision. Q. And I guess I'm trying to do this fairly straightforwardly. In any event, you saw personally a copy of this press release with this text before it went out, and voiced to those sending it out that you had no objection to the way PricewaterhouseCoopers was presented in the pres release? Is that fair? A. That's fine. Q. And including Price Waterhouse's	/

66 (Pages 258 to 261)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

18

19

20

21

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

Page 262 Including whatever you just said. 1 2 Q. Well, what I mean is you saw it 3 before it went out and you didn't have any problem with the way PricewaterhouseCoopers's role in the 4 no reliance statement that exists here -- let me 5 try that again. 6 What I mean to say is you saw the 7 statement before it went out, and you had no 8 problem with the way PricewaterhouseCoopers's work 9 or role with respect to the potential restatement 10 or the no reliance on the financial statements that 11 was being announced here? 12 13 Is that fair? MR. RYAN: Objection. 14 15 It's neither fair nor accurate. Q. All right. When you say you 16 17 agree --Can I perhaps tell you what I think I did? 18 Α. Well, let's try it this way: 19 First, break it down. Did you see 20 21 the draft before it went out with this text? 22 I saw numerous drafts. Q. And you saw the final draft before 23 it went out? 24 A. I believe so. 25

statements, which they can do at will without any consultation with the auditor, very rare is the auditor who would leave their opinion out on the street if the client withdraws their financial statements.

Page 264

Page 265

Our counsel to this client was different. Our counsel to this client was accelerate your efforts, resolve these issues, come to a conclusion on restatement, and then go. And we stuck with that counsel until they had burned much time up.

Q. Let me get back to my question.

A. My point is there was a terrible sense of

compromise in here that you're kind of asking me to say this is accurate. I mean, I did not -- I agreed that they could go to market with this and

agreed that they could go to market with this and
 it was acceptable to me.

Was it accurate? Was it the best answer? Was it the right way to go? There's a awful lot of questions that don't jump into accurate. So I can't agree that this accurate.

Q. Is there anything in here, in this press release, that you believed, then, in

24 September 1998, was wrong?

25 A. No.

Page 263

Q. And you communicated that from Price Waterhouse's perspective, it was okay to disseminate?

Is that fair?

A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. And now can you tell me what you think you were doing by communicating that was okay?

A. We could live with the press release after working long hours and days with too many lawyers and accountants on the phone wordsmithing words that were about fifty words trying to get people to understand concepts of responsibility, and how they could or couldn't do things to the market.

So we end up with these words which are tolerable, acceptable, whatever phraseology you want. I'm not saying that what's in here is wrong. One of the fundamental questions was is it better or not better to do this, for which there is still some substantial doubt about the answer to that.

Q. But what you're telling me is that you okayed the ultimate language as at least accurate?

A. No, what I'm telling you is when your client elects to withdraw their financial

Q. And you ultimately withdrew your opinion on the fiscal year 1997 AHERF financial statements, that is PricewaterhouseCoopers did? A. "No further reliance should be placed on

A. "No further reliance should be placed on the financial statements or the Coopers & Lybrand report thereon."

Q. Was there -- and you're quoting the press release?

A. Yes.

Q. Was there any other formal way in which you withdraw your opinion?

12 A. I believe that copies of this document were
 13 furnished by the company to various places to
 14 substantiate and accomplish notice.

Q. Do you know?

A. But there is no -- the formal process, typically for a public company, this is not what, you know, would result in a press release being filed within the EDGAR system for public companies.

20 The SEC database system.

For this entity, I know copies of this release were filed with the NRMSIR, so that the bond holders would be aware that the financials and the opinion had been pulled. NRMSIR is something like N-M-R-S-I-R and I've got five out of

67 (Pages 262 to 265)

en Kor			
	Page 27-		Page 276
1 fr	raud or the SAS 82 implications of your work with	1	A. "Dollars, \$28,300,000 was related to"
	nybody at AHERF or the audit committee?	2	Q. Or released?
	In one of my early, if not my earliest	3	A. "Released to income as an increase in
4 n	neetings with Joe.	4	patient revenue (to offset DVOG charge)."
5	Q. What about the audit committee?	5	Q. And then the next sentence?
6 A	Well, it was my understanding that it was	6	A. "The balance of 71 million" I don't
7 i	dentified to them in executive session, and not	7	think there is a dollar sign, but the balance of
8 t	he SAS 82 word, but the improper or inappropriate	8	the 71 "remained on the balance sheet of DVOG at
9 a	ctions of management at the June 10th meeting.	9	year end in the form of patient receivable
0 A	And I will defer to others who were there for who	10	reserves. Further investigation is needed to"
1 s	aid what to whom.	11	And then, you know, my editorial
2	Q. And you learned that, however,	12	was, you know, describe it how you want to, but
3 t	hrough conversations with Mr. Testoni or Mr.	13	further investigation was needed to resolve it.
4 F	Buettner?	14	Q. So, in other words, the handwriting
5 A	A. Or Amy.	15	stops with the word "to" and then you were going to
6	Q. All right.	16	voice other edits to Mr. Dionisio or whomever
	A. I, at our meeting on the 27th signaled to	17	drafted the document?
8 t	he audit committee that they had a SAS 82 issue	18	A. Yes.
	hat they needed to consider and put on their plate	19	Q. You have before you now
-	and deal with.	20	Exhibit 4150?
.1	Q. How did you signal that?	21	A. Yes.
-	A. I said there is a SAS 82 issue here, which	22	Q. It appears to reorder some of the
	the audit committee needs to address.	23	points and perhaps take some edits, either yours or
24	Q. In the meeting?	24 25	that of others.
25	A. Yeah, the August 27th meeting. I repeated	23	Do recall ever requesting that the
	Page 27	5	Page 27
1 1	that September 1, and we then sent a draft letter	1	order of the issues be changed?
2 1	to the chairman September 8th because we weren't	2	A. Yes.
	getting a lot of I hear you, this is really ugly,	3	Q. And why is it that you requested
	kind of stuff.	4	that and to whom did you make the request?
5	Q. So these edits are your suggestions	5	A. It was communicated to AHERF. The concept
6	on the document. Do you recall now what you were	6	was the first three items are issues about a
7	editing? Do you recall that you were editing a	7	potential area of restatement. The fourth item is
8	proposed press release or some other document?	8	an issue about a potential area of revision. So I
9	A. I	9	think the header now says potential areas of
10	Q. This is back to Exhibit 4149.	10	revision, which is, therefore, I guess, deemed to
	A. I believe it was a briefing document of the	11	incorporate restatement or otherwise.
	four points that went by Joe to the audit committee	12	Q. I see. And you're referring to the
	1	13	first three items and the forth item on Exhibit
	on what were the issues that he was framing, or		
14	trying to do some points on what were the issues	14	4150 when you make those comments?
14		14 15	4150 when you make those comments? A. Yes.
14 15	trying to do some points on what were the issues	14 15 16	4150 when you make those comments?A. Yes.Q. And the last exhibit is 4151 in this
14 15 16 17	trying to do some points on what were the issues that he was framing about restatement. Q. All right. A. And as we went through the fact pattern	14 15 16 17	 4150 when you make those comments? A. Yes. Q. And the last exhibit is 4151 in this set, which is a fax cover sheet from Mr. Stalder to
14 15 16 17	trying to do some points on what were the issues that he was framing about restatement. Q. All right. A. And as we went through the fact pattern that you really don't have the facts to assert the	14 15 16 17 18	 4150 when you make those comments? A. Yes. Q. And the last exhibit is 4151 in this set, which is a fax cover sheet from Mr. Stalder to Mr. Dionisio dated the same date as the press
14 15 16 17 18	trying to do some points on what were the issues that he was framing about restatement. Q. All right. A. And as we went through the fact pattern that you really don't have the facts to assert the restatement yet, you're showing more of a	14 15 16 17 18 19	 4150 when you make those comments? A. Yes. Q. And the last exhibit is 4151 in this set, which is a fax cover sheet from Mr. Stalder to Mr. Dionisio dated the same date as the press release, September 2, 1998. And it reads on its
14 15 16 17 18	trying to do some points on what were the issues that he was framing about restatement. Q. All right. A. And as we went through the fact pattern that you really don't have the facts to assert the restatement yet, you're showing more of a conclusion than exists.	14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Yes. Q. And the last exhibit is 4151 in this set, which is a fax cover sheet from Mr. Stalder to Mr. Dionisio dated the same date as the press release, September 2, 1998. And it reads on its cover sheet:
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	trying to do some points on what were the issues that he was framing about restatement. Q. All right. A. And as we went through the fact pattern that you really don't have the facts to assert the restatement yet, you're showing more of a conclusion than exists. Q. I'm going to ask you to read in the	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Yes. Q. And the last exhibit is 4151 in this set, which is a fax cover sheet from Mr. Stalder to Mr. Dionisio dated the same date as the press release, September 2, 1998. And it reads on its cover sheet: "Joe: As requested, I have marked
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	trying to do some points on what were the issues that he was framing about restatement. Q. All right. A. And as we went through the fact pattern that you really don't have the facts to assert the restatement yet, you're showing more of a conclusion than exists. Q. I'm going to ask you to read in the handwriting that you put at the bottom of the page,	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	4150 when you make those comments? A. Yes. Q. And the last exhibit is 4151 in this set, which is a fax cover sheet from Mr. Stalder to Mr. Dionisio dated the same date as the press release, September 2, 1998. And it reads on its cover sheet: "Joe: As requested, I have marked up the proposed press release to reflect matters
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	trying to do some points on what were the issues that he was framing about restatement. Q. All right. A. And as we went through the fact pattern that you really don't have the facts to assert the restatement yet, you're showing more of a conclusion than exists. Q. I'm going to ask you to read in the handwriting that you put at the bottom of the page, and after the inserted parenthetical. It looks to	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Yes. Q. And the last exhibit is 4151 in this set, which is a fax cover sheet from Mr. Stalder to Mr. Dionisio dated the same date as the press release, September 2, 1998. And it reads on its cover sheet: "Joe: As requested, I have marked up the proposed press release to reflect matters agreed to in our most recent conversation. I
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	trying to do some points on what were the issues that he was framing about restatement. Q. All right. A. And as we went through the fact pattern that you really don't have the facts to assert the restatement yet, you're showing more of a conclusion than exists. Q. I'm going to ask you to read in the handwriting that you put at the bottom of the page,	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	4150 when you make those comments? A. Yes. Q. And the last exhibit is 4151 in this set, which is a fax cover sheet from Mr. Stalder to Mr. Dionisio dated the same date as the press release, September 2, 1998. And it reads on its cover sheet: "Joe: As requested, I have marked up the proposed press release to reflect matters

	Page 278		Page 280
1	that you will consider our proposed changes."	1	A. Yes.
1	Have I read that right?	2	Q. And here we see, on page two of the
2		3	exhibit, a series of descriptions of the four
3	A. I think so. Q. And you were shown as a blind carbon	4	issues of potential restatement, some of which
5	copy. Do you recall receiving this fax and its	5	language, or the language of which may be similar
	attachment?	6	to what we just saw in the prior set of exhibits.
6 7	A. No.	7	Am I right?
8	Q. Do you have any doubt that you got	8	A. I'm sorry, you are going to have to ask
9	it?	9	your question again. I didn't understand it.
9 10	A. Not really, no. I mean, I	10	O. Stated in the minutes, under
11	Q. Do you recall that the press release	11	subheading Roman numeral IV(a) are four issues of
12	was being worked in draft form even the day it was	12	potential restatement that were apparently
13	released?	13	discussed, some of the language of which is not
4	A. I don't recall it, but that wouldn't	14	dissimilar from the exhibits we just saw.
15	surprise me about a press release of this nature.	15	Am I right?
15 16	Q. Is the handwriting on the next two	16	A. Yes.
		17	Q. Does that jog your recollection that
17	pages yours, or any of it? A. No.	18	in part, perhaps, that Exhibits 4148 through 4150
18		19	were prepared and commented upon by you. Prepared
19		20	by, and perhaps others and commented upon by you
20	A. No.	21	for submission to the board as potential areas of
21	Q. Do you have any recollection now of why the press release would have had, in any of its	22	restatement?
22		23	MR. RYAN: Objection. I think the
23	iteration, the page number 30 beneath it or at the	24	witness has already said that.
24	bottom of it? A. No.	25	MR. JONES: Well, if he has he can
25	A. No.		,
		ł	
	Page 279		Page 28
1	Page 279 Q. Do you recall it being a large part	1	say yes.
	Q. Do you recall it being a large part	1	say yes. MR. RYAN: I'm just saying that the
2	Q. Do you recall it being a large part of some other document that you saw, or a small	1	say yes.
2	Q. Do you recall it being a large part	1 2	say yes. MR. RYAN: I'm just saying that the
2 3 4	Q. Do you recall it being a large part of some other document that you saw, or a small part of some other large document that you saw? A. No.	1 2 3	say yes. MR. RYAN: I'm just saying that the document doesn't refresh his recollection if he's
2 3 4 5	Q. Do you recall it being a large part of some other document that you saw, or a small part of some other large document that you saw?	1 2 3 4	say yes. MR. RYAN: I'm just saying that the document doesn't refresh his recollection if he's already testified to it independently. MR. JONES: I'm not sure that's the state of the testimony.
2 3 4 5 6	Q. Do you recall it being a large part of some other document that you saw, or a small part of some other large document that you saw? A. No. Q. Do you recognize the handwriting? A. No.	1 2 3 4 5	say yes. MR. RYAN: I'm just saying that the document doesn't refresh his recollection if he's already testified to it independently. MR. JONES: I'm not sure that's the
2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. Do you recall it being a large part of some other document that you saw, or a small part of some other large document that you saw? A. No. Q. Do you recognize the handwriting? A. No. Q. Do you recall who else had input 	1 2 3 4 5 6	say yes. MR. RYAN: I'm just saying that the document doesn't refresh his recollection if he's already testified to it independently. MR. JONES: I'm not sure that's the state of the testimony. Q. Let me ask you a real simple one. Looking at the minutes that are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. Do you recall it being a large part of some other document that you saw, or a small part of some other large document that you saw? A. No. Q. Do you recognize the handwriting? A. No. Q. Do you recall who else had input besides Coopers & Lybrand I'm sorry,	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	say yes. MR. RYAN: I'm just saying that the document doesn't refresh his recollection if he's already testified to it independently. MR. JONES: I'm not sure that's the state of the testimony. Q. Let me ask you a real simple one.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. Do you recall it being a large part of some other document that you saw, or a small part of some other large document that you saw? A. No. Q. Do you recognize the handwriting? A. No. Q. Do you recall who else had input besides Coopers & Lybrand I'm sorry, PricewaterhouseCoopers and AHERF, into the press	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	say yes. MR. RYAN: I'm just saying that the document doesn't refresh his recollection if he's already testified to it independently. MR. JONES: I'm not sure that's the state of the testimony. Q. Let me ask you a real simple one. Looking at the minutes that are before you as Exhibit 2063, and having just seen Exhibits 4148 through 4150, do you have a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. Do you recall it being a large part of some other document that you saw, or a small part of some other large document that you saw? A. No. Q. Do you recognize the handwriting? A. No. Q. Do you recall who else had input besides Coopers & Lybrand I'm sorry,	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. RYAN: I'm just saying that the document doesn't refresh his recollection if he's already testified to it independently. MR. JONES: I'm not sure that's the state of the testimony. Q. Let me ask you a real simple one. Looking at the minutes that are before you as Exhibit 2063, and having just seen Exhibits 4148 through 4150, do you have a recollection today as to whether these exhibits
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. Do you recall it being a large part of some other document that you saw, or a small part of some other large document that you saw? A. No. Q. Do you recognize the handwriting? A. No. Q. Do you recall who else had input besides Coopers & Lybrand I'm sorry, PricewaterhouseCoopers and AHERF, into the press release? And the reason I ask is Mr. Stalder	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	say yes. MR. RYAN: I'm just saying that the document doesn't refresh his recollection if he's already testified to it independently. MR. JONES: I'm not sure that's the state of the testimony. Q. Let me ask you a real simple one. Looking at the minutes that are before you as Exhibit 2063, and having just seen Exhibits 4148 through 4150, do you have a recollection today as to whether these exhibits were commented on by you and others in an attempt
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. Do you recall it being a large part of some other document that you saw, or a small part of some other large document that you saw? A. No. Q. Do you recognize the handwriting? A. No. Q. Do you recall who else had input besides Coopers & Lybrand I'm sorry, PricewaterhouseCoopers and AHERF, into the press release? And the reason I ask is Mr. Stalder appears to refer to others in his fax cover sheet.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. RYAN: I'm just saying that the document doesn't refresh his recollection if he's already testified to it independently. MR. JONES: I'm not sure that's the state of the testimony. Q. Let me ask you a real simple one. Looking at the minutes that are before you as Exhibit 2063, and having just seen Exhibits 4148 through 4150, do you have a recollection today as to whether these exhibits were commented on by you and others in an attempt to come up with issues of potential restatement to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. Do you recall it being a large part of some other document that you saw, or a small part of some other large document that you saw? A. No. Q. Do you recognize the handwriting? A. No. Q. Do you recall who else had input besides Coopers & Lybrand I'm sorry, PricewaterhouseCoopers and AHERF, into the press release? And the reason I ask is Mr. Stalder appears to refer to others in his fax cover sheet. A. No, I do not recall.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	say yes. MR. RYAN: I'm just saying that the document doesn't refresh his recollection if he's already testified to it independently. MR. JONES: I'm not sure that's the state of the testimony. Q. Let me ask you a real simple one. Looking at the minutes that are before you as Exhibit 2063, and having just seen Exhibits 4148 through 4150, do you have a recollection today as to whether these exhibits were commented on by you and others in an attempt
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. Do you recall it being a large part of some other document that you saw, or a small part of some other large document that you saw? A. No. Q. Do you recognize the handwriting? A. No. Q. Do you recall who else had input besides Coopers & Lybrand I'm sorry, PricewaterhouseCoopers and AHERF, into the press release? And the reason I ask is Mr. Stalder appears to refer to others in his fax cover sheet. A. No, I do not recall. Q. I'm handing you now, Mr. Korbly,	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. RYAN: I'm just saying that the document doesn't refresh his recollection if he's already testified to it independently. MR. JONES: I'm not sure that's the state of the testimony. Q. Let me ask you a real simple one. Looking at the minutes that are before you as Exhibit 2063, and having just seen Exhibits 4148 through 4150, do you have a recollection today as to whether these exhibits were commented on by you and others in an attempt to come up with issues of potential restatement to be visited with, or to be visited about with the board?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. Do you recall it being a large part of some other document that you saw, or a small part of some other large document that you saw? A. No. Q. Do you recognize the handwriting? A. No. Q. Do you recall who else had input besides Coopers & Lybrand I'm sorry, PricewaterhouseCoopers and AHERF, into the press release? And the reason I ask is Mr. Stalder appears to refer to others in his fax cover sheet. A. No, I do not recall. Q. I'm handing you now, Mr. Korbly, Exhibit 2063 which has been previously marked in	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. RYAN: I'm just saying that the document doesn't refresh his recollection if he's already testified to it independently. MR. JONES: I'm not sure that's the state of the testimony. Q. Let me ask you a real simple one. Looking at the minutes that are before you as Exhibit 2063, and having just seen Exhibits 4148 through 4150, do you have a recollection today as to whether these exhibits were commented on by you and others in an attempt to come up with issues of potential restatement to be visited with, or to be visited about with the board? MR. RYAN: Objection to form.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. Do you recall it being a large part of some other document that you saw, or a small part of some other large document that you saw? A. No. Q. Do you recognize the handwriting? A. No. Q. Do you recall who else had input besides Coopers & Lybrand I'm sorry, PricewaterhouseCoopers and AHERF, into the press release? And the reason I ask is Mr. Stalder appears to refer to others in his fax cover sheet. A. No, I do not recall. Q. I'm handing you now, Mr. Korbly, Exhibit 2063 which has been previously marked in the case. And I believe you will tell me, instead	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. RYAN: I'm just saying that the document doesn't refresh his recollection if he's already testified to it independently. MR. JONES: I'm not sure that's the state of the testimony. Q. Let me ask you a real simple one. Looking at the minutes that are before you as Exhibit 2063, and having just seen Exhibits 4148 through 4150, do you have a recollection today as to whether these exhibits were commented on by you and others in an attempt to come up with issues of potential restatement to be visited with, or to be visited about with the board?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. Do you recall it being a large part of some other document that you saw, or a small part of some other large document that you saw? A. No. Q. Do you recognize the handwriting? A. No. Q. Do you recall who else had input besides Coopers & Lybrand I'm sorry, PricewaterhouseCoopers and AHERF, into the press release? And the reason I ask is Mr. Stalder appears to refer to others in his fax cover sheet. A. No, I do not recall. Q. I'm handing you now, Mr. Korbly, Exhibit 2063 which has been previously marked in the case. And I believe you will tell me, instead of the Tuesday September 1, 1998 minutes of the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. RYAN: I'm just saying that the document doesn't refresh his recollection if he's already testified to it independently. MR. JONES: I'm not sure that's the state of the testimony. Q. Let me ask you a real simple one. Looking at the minutes that are before you as Exhibit 2063, and having just seen Exhibits 4148 through 4150, do you have a recollection today as to whether these exhibits were commented on by you and others in an attempt to come up with issues of potential restatement to be visited with, or to be visited about with the board? MR. RYAN: Objection to form.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. Do you recall it being a large part of some other document that you saw, or a small part of some other large document that you saw? A. No. Q. Do you recognize the handwriting? A. No. Q. Do you recall who else had input besides Coopers & Lybrand I'm sorry, PricewaterhouseCoopers and AHERF, into the press release? And the reason I ask is Mr. Stalder appears to refer to others in his fax cover sheet. A. No, I do not recall. Q. I'm handing you now, Mr. Korbly, Exhibit 2063 which has been previously marked in the case. And I believe you will tell me, instead of the Tuesday September 1, 1998 minutes of the finance and audit committee of AHERF, a meeting you	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. RYAN: I'm just saying that the document doesn't refresh his recollection if he's already testified to it independently. MR. JONES: I'm not sure that's the state of the testimony. Q. Let me ask you a real simple one. Looking at the minutes that are before you as Exhibit 2063, and having just seen Exhibits 4148 through 4150, do you have a recollection today as to whether these exhibits were commented on by you and others in an attempt to come up with issues of potential restatement to be visited with, or to be visited about with the board? MR. RYAN: Objection to form. Q. Or the audit committee or finance
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. Do you recall it being a large part of some other document that you saw, or a small part of some other large document that you saw? A. No. Q. Do you recognize the handwriting? A. No. Q. Do you recall who else had input besides Coopers & Lybrand I'm sorry, PricewaterhouseCoopers and AHERF, into the press release? And the reason I ask is Mr. Stalder appears to refer to others in his fax cover sheet. A. No, I do not recall. Q. I'm handing you now, Mr. Korbly, Exhibit 2063 which has been previously marked in the case. And I believe you will tell me, instead of the Tuesday September 1, 1998 minutes of the finance and audit committee of AHERF, a meeting you attended; am I right?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. RYAN: I'm just saying that the document doesn't refresh his recollection if he's already testified to it independently. MR. JONES: I'm not sure that's the state of the testimony. Q. Let me ask you a real simple one. Looking at the minutes that are before you as Exhibit 2063, and having just seen Exhibits 4148 through 4150, do you have a recollection today as to whether these exhibits were commented on by you and others in an attempt to come up with issues of potential restatement to be visited with, or to be visited about with the board? MR. RYAN: Objection to form. Q. Or the audit committee or finance committee? A. Well, I provided comments because my
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. Do you recall it being a large part of some other document that you saw, or a small part of some other large document that you saw? A. No. Q. Do you recognize the handwriting? A. No. Q. Do you recall who else had input besides Coopers & Lybrand I'm sorry, PricewaterhouseCoopers and AHERF, into the press release? And the reason I ask is Mr. Stalder appears to refer to others in his fax cover sheet. A. No, I do not recall. Q. I'm handing you now, Mr. Korbly, Exhibit 2063 which has been previously marked in the case. And I believe you will tell me, instead of the Tuesday September 1, 1998 minutes of the finance and audit committee of AHERF, a meeting you attended; am I right? A. Yes, I attended the meeting and yes, this	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. RYAN: I'm just saying that the document doesn't refresh his recollection if he's already testified to it independently. MR. JONES: I'm not sure that's the state of the testimony. Q. Let me ask you a real simple one. Looking at the minutes that are before you as Exhibit 2063, and having just seen Exhibits 4148 through 4150, do you have a recollection today as to whether these exhibits were commented on by you and others in an attempt to come up with issues of potential restatement to be visited with, or to be visited about with the board? MR. RYAN: Objection to form. Q. Or the audit committee or finance committee? A. Well, I provided comments because my handwriting is on a number of these. Similar
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. Do you recall it being a large part of some other document that you saw, or a small part of some other large document that you saw? A. No. Q. Do you recognize the handwriting? A. No. Q. Do you recall who else had input besides Coopers & Lybrand I'm sorry, PricewaterhouseCoopers and AHERF, into the press release? And the reason I ask is Mr. Stalder appears to refer to others in his fax cover sheet. A. No, I do not recall. Q. I'm handing you now, Mr. Korbly, Exhibit 2063 which has been previously marked in the case. And I believe you will tell me, instead of the Tuesday September 1, 1998 minutes of the finance and audit committee of AHERF, a meeting you attended; am I right? A. Yes, I attended the meeting and yes, this appears to be minutes of that meeting.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. RYAN: I'm just saying that the document doesn't refresh his recollection if he's already testified to it independently. MR. JONES: I'm not sure that's the state of the testimony. Q. Let me ask you a real simple one. Looking at the minutes that are before you as Exhibit 2063, and having just seen Exhibits 4148 through 4150, do you have a recollection today as to whether these exhibits were commented on by you and others in an attempt to come up with issues of potential restatement to be visited with, or to be visited about with the board? MR. RYAN: Objection to form. Q. Or the audit committee or finance committee? A. Well, I provided comments because my handwriting is on a number of these. Similar wording is in here. And what the uses were that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. Do you recall it being a large part of some other document that you saw, or a small part of some other large document that you saw? A. No. Q. Do you recognize the handwriting? A. No. Q. Do you recall who else had input besides Coopers & Lybrand I'm sorry, PricewaterhouseCoopers and AHERF, into the press release? And the reason I ask is Mr. Stalder appears to refer to others in his fax cover sheet. A. No, I do not recall. Q. I'm handing you now, Mr. Korbly, Exhibit 2063 which has been previously marked in the case. And I believe you will tell me, instead of the Tuesday September 1, 1998 minutes of the finance and audit committee of AHERF, a meeting you attended; am I right? A. Yes, I attended the meeting and yes, this appears to be minutes of that meeting. Q. Did you see these minutes yesterday?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. RYAN: I'm just saying that the document doesn't refresh his recollection if he's already testified to it independently. MR. JONES: I'm not sure that's the state of the testimony. Q. Let me ask you a real simple one. Looking at the minutes that are before you as Exhibit 2063, and having just seen Exhibits 4148 through 4150, do you have a recollection today as to whether these exhibits were commented on by you and others in an attempt to come up with issues of potential restatement to be visited with, or to be visited about with the board? MR. RYAN: Objection to form. Q. Or the audit committee or finance committee? A. Well, I provided comments because my handwriting is on a number of these. Similar wording is in here. And what the uses were that Joe Dionisio had, you know, if you asked me is it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. Do you recall it being a large part of some other document that you saw, or a small part of some other large document that you saw? A. No. Q. Do you recognize the handwriting? A. No. Q. Do you recall who else had input besides Coopers & Lybrand I'm sorry, PricewaterhouseCoopers and AHERF, into the press release? And the reason I ask is Mr. Stalder appears to refer to others in his fax cover sheet. A. No, I do not recall. Q. I'm handing you now, Mr. Korbly, Exhibit 2063 which has been previously marked in the case. And I believe you will tell me, instead of the Tuesday September 1, 1998 minutes of the finance and audit committee of AHERF, a meeting you attended; am I right? A. Yes, I attended the meeting and yes, this appears to be minutes of that meeting. Q. Did you see these minutes yesterday? A. Yes.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. RYAN: I'm just saying that the document doesn't refresh his recollection if he's already testified to it independently. MR. JONES: I'm not sure that's the state of the testimony. Q. Let me ask you a real simple one. Looking at the minutes that are before you as Exhibit 2063, and having just seen Exhibits 4148 through 4150, do you have a recollection today as to whether these exhibits were commented on by you and others in an attempt to come up with issues of potential restatement to be visited with, or to be visited about with the board? MR. RYAN: Objection to form. Q. Or the audit committee or finance committee? A. Well, I provided comments because my handwriting is on a number of these. Similar wording is in here. And what the uses were that Joe Dionisio had, you know, if you asked me is it logical to assume one of them or the primary one
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. Do you recall it being a large part of some other document that you saw, or a small part of some other large document that you saw? A. No. Q. Do you recognize the handwriting? A. No. Q. Do you recall who else had input besides Coopers & Lybrand I'm sorry, PricewaterhouseCoopers and AHERF, into the press release? And the reason I ask is Mr. Stalder appears to refer to others in his fax cover sheet. A. No, I do not recall. Q. I'm handing you now, Mr. Korbly, Exhibit 2063 which has been previously marked in the case. And I believe you will tell me, instead of the Tuesday September 1, 1998 minutes of the finance and audit committee of AHERF, a meeting you attended; am I right? A. Yes, I attended the meeting and yes, this appears to be minutes of that meeting. Q. Did you see these minutes yesterday?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. RYAN: I'm just saying that the document doesn't refresh his recollection if he's already testified to it independently. MR. JONES: I'm not sure that's the state of the testimony. Q. Let me ask you a real simple one. Looking at the minutes that are before you as Exhibit 2063, and having just seen Exhibits 4148 through 4150, do you have a recollection today as to whether these exhibits were commented on by you and others in an attempt to come up with issues of potential restatement to be visited with, or to be visited about with the board? MR. RYAN: Objection to form. Q. Or the audit committee or finance committee? A. Well, I provided comments because my handwriting is on a number of these. Similar wording is in here. And what the uses were that Joe Dionisio had, you know, if you asked me is it

DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET

THE OFFICIAL COMMITTEE OF UNSECURED CREDITORS OF RE: ALLEGHENY HEALTH, EDUCATION AND RESEARCH FOUNDATION VS. PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS, L.L.P.

I, Ben Korbly, wish to make the following amendments, additions, deletions or corrections to my deposition given on December 16, 2003, for the following reasons. I have signed my name to the errata sheet and authorize you to attach it to the original transcript.

Page/Line # 3:11; 46:22; 73:23; 143:6, 161:21; 170:19; 187:3; 202:15; 239:23; 240:21,23,24; 303:24; 304:9; 324:2; 350:3	Amendment "PWC" should read "PwC"	Reason for Change Clarification
22:22; 44:5,6	"GASS" should read "GAAS"	Error
48:10	"insurance" should read "assurance"	Error
68:23-4	First sentence should read, "I learned from Amy that she had received it."	Clarification
75:10	"payer" should read "payor"	Error
82: 11,12,17,22; 97:17; 98:13; 99:15; 105:21; 115:17; 117:7; 119:12; 120:2; 136:4; 199:15	"Cipielik" should read "Cepielik"	Error

98:2	"an" should read "and"	Error
113:23,25; 134:12,25; 135:16; 136:6; 137:5,25; 140:17; 142:9; 143:18: 173:18,22; 197:3; 330:18	"Kirsteen" should read "Kirstein"	Error
126:21	"not" should read "now"	Error
147:18	Insert "to" between "going" and "get"	Clarification
167:1	"technicality" should read "technically"	Clarification
172:7	"Sharif" should read "Sherif"	Error
181:5	"what" should read "that"	Error
189:4	"Mike K." should read "Mike M."	Clarification
191:21	Insert "had" between "Amy" and "been"	Clarification
195:6	"negotiation" should read "negotiate"	Clarification
198:15	"Adamzik" should read "Adamczak"	Error
246:16-18	Answer should read, "Bullet one, 'Why the June 98 audit committee meeting not minuted?"	Clarification
286:23	"I don't" should read "I didn't"	Clarification
350:6-7	Answer should read, "I don't know that I have, but I believe I did."	Clarification
350:21	Insert "was" between "I" and "talking"	Clarification
356:13	Insert "not" between "is" and "different"	Error
356:23	"Graduate" not "graduate"	Error

In all other respects, the transcript is true and correct.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this __

10th

___ day of __February

File/Reference Number: 7472

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

Portia Drake, Notary Public City Of Philadelphia, Philadelphia County My Commission Expires Nov. 4, 2007

Member, Pennsylvania Association Of Notaries

Korman Dep.

```
Page 1
             IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
1
           FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA
2
3
    THE OFFICIAL COMMITTEE OF : CIVIL ACTION
4
    UNSECURED CREDITORS OF
    ALLEGHENY HEALTH,
5
    EDUCATION, AND RESEARCH
    Foundation
6
7
               vs.
    PRICEWATERHOUSE COOPERS, : CASE NO.
8
                                 : 00-684 (W.D.Pa.)
    LLP
9
10
                   Philadelphia, Pennsylvania
                    Wednesday, August 28, 2002
11
12
13
                    Videotape Deposition of BERNARD J.
14
     KORMAN, ESQ., taken pursuant to notice, at the law
15
     offices of Pelino & Lentz, One Liberty Place, 32nd
16
     Floor, on the above date, beginning at
17
     approximately 8:32 a.m., before Debra Ann
18
     Whitehead, a Court Reporter, an Approved Reporter
19
     of the United States District Court, and Notary
20
     Public.
21
22
23
24
25
```

Page 6	Page 8
1 the possible closing of Graduate Hospital. 08:35:43	1 But attention, yes. 08:38:33
2 Q. What experience or expertise did you have 08:35:49	2 Q. Did you consider it part of your 08:38:39
3 prior to that time that led the University of 08:35:51	3 responsibility as a Graduate Board member to keep 08:38:41
4 Pennsylvania to consult with you on that topic, if 08:35:56	4 yourself well informed about financial performance 08:38:44
5 you know? 08:35:58	5 of The Graduate Hospital and related entities? 08:38:54
6 A. I was the CEO of a public hospital management 08:35:59	6 A. I considered I took my fiduciary duties as 08:38:57
7 company, and I have had specifically previous 08:36:04	7 a director very seriously, and I believe that I 08:39:02
	8 exercised all appropriate judgments in that regard. 08:39:06
	9 Q. Mr. Korman, that's a legal conclusion. I am 08:39:21
j itospitat in i minoti-pinio	10 going to try not to ask you much about legal 08:39:24
10 Q. What was the name of the hospital management 08:36:13	11 conclusions today. 08:39:26
11 company of which year were all provided in	12 Let me ask 08:39:27
12 A. American Medicorp, M-E-D-I-C-O-R-P. 08:36:18	
13 Q. And during what period of time were you CEO of 08:36:22	13 A. I apologize. That's my impediment having 08:39:28 14 practiced law. 08:39:32
14 American Medicorp? 08:36:25	
15 A. From 1968 to 1976, I believe. 08:36:28	
16 Q. And after 1976, did you continue any 08:36:33	16 permanently. 08:39:34 17 A. Yes. 08:39:35
17 association with American Medicorp? 08:36:37	00.00.27
18 A. Until the end of '76, I believe. 08:36:41	10 Q. On a more provinces and year,
19 Q. Now, you provided consulting services to the 08:36:48	19 ongoing basis as a Trustee of Graduate, consider it 08:39:41 20 part of your responsibility to keep yourself well 08:39:45
20 University of Pennsylvania after 1975 relating to 08:36:53	4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4
21 The Graduate Hospital; correct? 08:36:58	
22 A. Yes. 08:36:59	22 Graduite Hospital
23 Q. And is it correct that in 1977, rather than 08:37:01	00.20.52
24 closing The Graduate Hospital, the University of 08:37:06	24 Q. And did you consider it part of your 08:39:53 25 responsibility to keep yourself well informed about 08:39:56
25 Pennsylvania spun it off as an independent entity? 08:37:09	25 responsibility to keep yourself well informed about 00.37.30
Page 7	Page 9
Page 7	Page 9
1 A. Yes. 08:37:12	1 developments affecting the health care industry 08:40:02
1 A. Yes. 08:37:12 2 Q. And did you have anything to do with devising 08:37:13	1 developments affecting the health care industry 08:40:02 2 generally? 08:40:05
 1 A. Yes. 08:37:12 2 Q. And did you have anything to do with devising 08:37:13 3 the strategy that led to that spin-off rather than 08:37:19 	1 developments affecting the health care industry 08:40:02 2 generally? 08:40:05 3 A. I did; not only because of Graduate, but 08:40:07
1 A. Yes. 08:37:12 2 Q. And did you have anything to do with devising 08:37:13	1 developments affecting the health care industry 08:40:02 2 generally? 08:40:05 3 A. I did; not only because of Graduate, but 08:40:07 4 because of my professional activity. 08:40:10
1 A. Yes. 08:37:12 2 Q. And did you have anything to do with devising 08:37:13 3 the strategy that led to that spin-off rather than 08:37:19 4 closure of Graduate? 08:37:21 5 A. Yes. 08:37:22	1 developments affecting the health care industry 08:40:02 2 generally? 08:40:05 3 A. I did; not only because of Graduate, but 08:40:07 4 because of my professional activity. 08:40:10 5 Q. When Graduate became an independent entity in 08:40:18
1 A. Yes. 08:37:12 2 Q. And did you have anything to do with devising 08:37:13 3 the strategy that led to that spin-off rather than 08:37:19 4 closure of Graduate? 08:37:21 5 A. Yes. 08:37:22 6 Q. Were you in fact the one principally 08:37:26	1 developments affecting the health care industry 08:40:02 2 generally? 08:40:05 3 A. I did; not only because of Graduate, but 08:40:07 4 because of my professional activity. 08:40:10 5 Q. When Graduate became an independent entity in 08:40:18 6 1977, who became the first chief executive officer? 08:40:22
1 A. Yes. 08:37:12 2 Q. And did you have anything to do with devising 08:37:13 3 the strategy that led to that spin-off rather than 08:37:19 4 closure of Graduate? 08:37:21 5 A. Yes. 08:37:22 6 Q. Were you in fact the one principally 08:37:26 7 responsible for developing that strategy? 08:37:30	1 developments affecting the health care industry 08:40:02 2 generally? 08:40:05 3 A. I did; not only because of Graduate, but 08:40:07 4 because of my professional activity. 08:40:10 5 Q. When Graduate became an independent entity in 08:40:18 6 1977, who became the first chief executive officer? 08:40:22 7 A. Paul Skofield of Graduate Hospital? 08:40:28
1 A. Yes. 08:37:12 2 Q. And did you have anything to do with devising 08:37:13 3 the strategy that led to that spin-off rather than 08:37:19 4 closure of Graduate? 08:37:21 5 A. Yes. 08:37:22 6 Q. Were you in fact the one principally 08:37:26 7 responsible for developing that strategy? 08:37:30 8 A. It was my plan, yes. 08:37:32	1 developments affecting the health care industry 08:40:02 2 generally? 08:40:05 3 A. I did; not only because of Graduate, but 08:40:07 4 because of my professional activity. 08:40:10 5 Q. When Graduate became an independent entity in 08:40:18 6 1977, who became the first chief executive officer? 08:40:22 7 A. Paul Skofield of Graduate Hospital? 08:40:28 8 Q. Yes. 08:40:30
1 A. Yes. 08:37:12 2 Q. And did you have anything to do with devising 08:37:13 3 the strategy that led to that spin-off rather than 08:37:19 4 closure of Graduate? 08:37:21 5 A. Yes. 08:37:22 6 Q. Were you in fact the one principally 08:37:26 7 responsible for developing that strategy? 08:37:30 8 A. It was my plan, yes. 08:37:32 9 Q. And am I correct that when that spin-off 08:37:36	1 developments affecting the health care industry 08:40:02 2 generally? 08:40:05 3 A. I did; not only because of Graduate, but 08:40:07 4 because of my professional activity. 08:40:10 5 Q. When Graduate became an independent entity in 08:40:18 6 1977, who became the first chief executive officer? 08:40:22 7 A. Paul Skofield of Graduate Hospital? 08:40:28 8 Q. Yes. 08:40:30 9 A. Paul Skofield. 08:40:31
1 A. Yes. 08:37:12 2 Q. And did you have anything to do with devising 08:37:13 3 the strategy that led to that spin-off rather than 08:37:19 4 closure of Graduate? 08:37:21 5 A. Yes. 08:37:22 6 Q. Were you in fact the one principally 08:37:26 7 responsible for developing that strategy? 08:37:30 8 A. It was my plan, yes. 08:37:32 9 Q. And am I correct that when that spin-off 08:37:36 10 became effective, that you, from the start, were a 08:37:41	1 developments affecting the health care industry 08:40:02 2 generally? 08:40:05 3 A. I did; not only because of Graduate, but 08:40:07 4 because of my professional activity. 08:40:10 5 Q. When Graduate became an independent entity in 08:40:18 6 1977, who became the first chief executive officer? 08:40:22 7 A. Paul Skofield of Graduate Hospital? 08:40:28 8 Q. Yes. 08:40:30 9 A. Paul Skofield. 08:40:31 10 Q. At the time of the divestiture of Graduate in 08:40:32
1 A. Yes. 08:37:12 2 Q. And did you have anything to do with devising 08:37:13 3 the strategy that led to that spin-off rather than 08:37:19 4 closure of Graduate? 08:37:21 5 A. Yes. 08:37:22 6 Q. Were you in fact the one principally 08:37:26 7 responsible for developing that strategy? 08:37:30 8 A. It was my plan, yes. 08:37:32 9 Q. And am I correct that when that spin-off 08:37:36	1 developments affecting the health care industry 08:40:02 2 generally? 08:40:05 3 A. I did; not only because of Graduate, but 08:40:07 4 because of my professional activity. 08:40:10 5 Q. When Graduate became an independent entity in 08:40:18 6 1977, who became the first chief executive officer? 08:40:22 7 A. Paul Skofield of Graduate Hospital? 08:40:28 8 Q. Yes. 08:40:30 9 A. Paul Skofield. 08:40:31 10 Q. At the time of the divestiture of Graduate in 08:40:32 11 1977, was there created an independent parent 08:40:36
1 A. Yes. 08:37:12 2 Q. And did you have anything to do with devising 08:37:13 3 the strategy that led to that spin-off rather than 08:37:19 4 closure of Graduate? 08:37:21 5 A. Yes. 08:37:22 6 Q. Were you in fact the one principally 08:37:26 7 responsible for developing that strategy? 08:37:30 8 A. It was my plan, yes. 08:37:32 9 Q. And am I correct that when that spin-off 08:37:36 10 became effective, that you, from the start, were a 08:37:41 11 member of the Board of Trustees of Graduate 08:37:44 12 Hospital? 08:37:48	1 developments affecting the health care industry 08:40:02 2 generally? 08:40:05 3 A. I did; not only because of Graduate, but 08:40:07 4 because of my professional activity. 08:40:10 5 Q. When Graduate became an independent entity in 08:40:18 6 1977, who became the first chief executive officer? 08:40:22 7 A. Paul Skofield of Graduate Hospital? 08:40:28 8 Q. Yes. 08:40:30 9 A. Paul Skofield. 08:40:31 10 Q. At the time of the divestiture of Graduate in 08:40:32 11 1977, was there created an independent parent 08:40:36 12 entity either called or as a predecessor to GHS? 08:40:41
1 A. Yes. 08:37:12 2 Q. And did you have anything to do with devising 08:37:13 3 the strategy that led to that spin-off rather than 08:37:19 4 closure of Graduate? 08:37:21 5 A. Yes. 08:37:22 6 Q. Were you in fact the one principally 08:37:26 7 responsible for developing that strategy? 08:37:30 8 A. It was my plan, yes. 08:37:32 9 Q. And am I correct that when that spin-off 08:37:36 10 became effective, that you, from the start, were a 08:37:41 11 member of the Board of Trustees of Graduate 08:37:44	1 developments affecting the health care industry 08:40:02 2 generally? 08:40:05 3 A. I did; not only because of Graduate, but 08:40:07 4 because of my professional activity. 08:40:10 5 Q. When Graduate became an independent entity in 08:40:18 6 1977, who became the first chief executive officer? 08:40:22 7 A. Paul Skofield of Graduate Hospital? 08:40:28 8 Q. Yes. 08:40:30 9 A. Paul Skofield. 08:40:31 10 Q. At the time of the divestiture of Graduate in 08:40:32 11 1977, was there created an independent parent 08:40:36 12 entity either called or as a predecessor to GHS? 08:40:41 13 A. I don't recall. I believe that the only 08:40:51
1 A. Yes. 08:37:12 2 Q. And did you have anything to do with devising 08:37:13 3 the strategy that led to that spin-off rather than 08:37:19 4 closure of Graduate? 08:37:21 5 A. Yes. 08:37:22 6 Q. Were you in fact the one principally 08:37:26 7 responsible for developing that strategy? 08:37:30 8 A. It was my plan, yes. 08:37:32 9 Q. And am I correct that when that spin-off 08:37:36 10 became effective, that you, from the start, were a 08:37:41 11 member of the Board of Trustees of Graduate 08:37:44 12 Hospital? 08:37:48 13 A. Board of Directors. 08:37:48 14 Q. Pardon me. 08:37:51	1 developments affecting the health care industry 08:40:02 2 generally? 08:40:05 3 A. I did; not only because of Graduate, but 08:40:07 4 because of my professional activity. 08:40:10 5 Q. When Graduate became an independent entity in 08:40:18 6 1977, who became the first chief executive officer? 08:40:22 7 A. Paul Skofield of Graduate Hospital? 08:40:28 8 Q. Yes. 08:40:30 9 A. Paul Skofield. 08:40:31 10 Q. At the time of the divestiture of Graduate in 08:40:32 11 1977, was there created an independent parent 08:40:36 12 entity either called or as a predecessor to GHS? 08:40:41 13 A. I don't recall. I believe that the only 08:40:51 14 entity that was created was The Graduate Hospital. 08:40:56
1 A. Yes. 08:37:12 2 Q. And did you have anything to do with devising 08:37:13 3 the strategy that led to that spin-off rather than 08:37:19 4 closure of Graduate? 08:37:21 5 A. Yes. 08:37:22 6 Q. Were you in fact the one principally 08:37:26 7 responsible for developing that strategy? 08:37:30 8 A. It was my plan, yes. 08:37:32 9 Q. And am I correct that when that spin-off 08:37:36 10 became effective, that you, from the start, were a 08:37:41 11 member of the Board of Trustees of Graduate 08:37:44 12 Hospital? 08:37:48 13 A. Board of Directors. 08:37:48	1 developments affecting the health care industry 08:40:02 2 generally? 08:40:05 3 A. I did; not only because of Graduate, but 08:40:07 4 because of my professional activity. 08:40:10 5 Q. When Graduate became an independent entity in 08:40:18 6 1977, who became the first chief executive officer? 08:40:22 7 A. Paul Skofield of Graduate Hospital? 08:40:28 8 Q. Yes. 08:40:30 9 A. Paul Skofield. 08:40:31 10 Q. At the time of the divestiture of Graduate in 08:40:32 11 1977, was there created an independent parent 08:40:36 12 entity either called or as a predecessor to GHS? 08:40:41 13 A. I don't recall. I believe that the only 08:40:56 15 I believe that's the case. 08:41:01
1 A. Yes. 08:37:12 2 Q. And did you have anything to do with devising 08:37:13 3 the strategy that led to that spin-off rather than 08:37:19 4 closure of Graduate? 08:37:21 5 A. Yes. 08:37:22 6 Q. Were you in fact the one principally 08:37:26 7 responsible for developing that strategy? 08:37:30 8 A. It was my plan, yes. 08:37:32 9 Q. And am I correct that when that spin-off 08:37:36 10 became effective, that you, from the start, were a 08:37:41 11 member of the Board of Trustees of Graduate 08:37:44 12 Hospital? 08:37:48 13 A. Board of Directors. 08:37:48 14 Q. Pardon me. 08:37:51 15 Is that correct? 08:37:53 16 A. That's correct. 08:37:54	1 developments affecting the health care industry 08:40:02 2 generally? 08:40:05 3 A. I did; not only because of Graduate, but 08:40:07 4 because of my professional activity. 08:40:10 5 Q. When Graduate became an independent entity in 08:40:18 6 1977, who became the first chief executive officer? 08:40:22 7 A. Paul Skofield of Graduate Hospital? 08:40:28 8 Q. Yes. 08:40:30 9 A. Paul Skofield. 08:40:31 10 Q. At the time of the divestiture of Graduate in 08:40:32 11 1977, was there created an independent parent 08:40:36 12 entity either called or as a predecessor to GHS? 08:40:41 13 A. I don't recall. I believe that the only 08:40:51 14 entity that was created was The Graduate Hospital. 08:40:56 15 I believe that's the case. 08:41:01 16 Q. And at the time of the divestiture in 1977, 08:41:04
1 A. Yes. 08:37:12 2 Q. And did you have anything to do with devising 08:37:13 3 the strategy that led to that spin-off rather than 08:37:19 4 closure of Graduate? 08:37:21 5 A. Yes. 08:37:22 6 Q. Were you in fact the one principally 08:37:26 7 responsible for developing that strategy? 08:37:30 8 A. It was my plan, yes. 08:37:32 9 Q. And am I correct that when that spin-off 08:37:36 10 became effective, that you, from the start, were a 08:37:41 11 member of the Board of Trustees of Graduate 08:37:44 12 Hospital? 08:37:48 13 A. Board of Directors. 08:37:48 14 Q. Pardon me. 08:37:51 15 Is that correct? 08:37:53	1 developments affecting the health care industry 08:40:02 2 generally? 08:40:05 3 A. I did; not only because of Graduate, but 08:40:07 4 because of my professional activity. 08:40:10 5 Q. When Graduate became an independent entity in 08:40:18 6 1977, who became the first chief executive officer? 08:40:22 7 A. Paul Skofield of Graduate Hospital? 08:40:28 8 Q. Yes. 08:40:30 9 A. Paul Skofield. 08:40:31 10 Q. At the time of the divestiture of Graduate in 08:40:32 11 1977, was there created an independent parent 08:40:36 12 entity either called or as a predecessor to GHS? 08:40:41 13 A. I don't recall. I believe that the only 08:40:51 14 entity that was created was The Graduate Hospital. 08:40:56 15 I believe that's the case. 08:41:01 16 Q. And at the time of the divestiture in 1977, 08:41:04 17 who became the first Chairman of The Graduate 08:41:09
1 A. Yes. 08:37:12 2 Q. And did you have anything to do with devising 08:37:13 3 the strategy that led to that spin-off rather than 08:37:19 4 closure of Graduate? 08:37:21 5 A. Yes. 08:37:22 6 Q. Were you in fact the one principally 08:37:26 7 responsible for developing that strategy? 08:37:30 8 A. It was my plan, yes. 08:37:32 9 Q. And am I correct that when that spin-off 08:37:36 10 became effective, that you, from the start, were a 08:37:41 11 member of the Board of Trustees of Graduate 08:37:44 12 Hospital? 08:37:48 13 A. Board of Directors. 08:37:48 14 Q. Pardon me. 08:37:51 15 Is that correct? 08:37:53 16 A. That's correct. 08:37:54 17 Q. And is it the case that from at least 1977 08:37:57 18 onward, up until the time that The Graduate 08:38:00	1 developments affecting the health care industry 08:40:02 2 generally? 08:40:05 3 A. I did; not only because of Graduate, but 08:40:07 4 because of my professional activity. 08:40:10 5 Q. When Graduate became an independent entity in 08:40:18 6 1977, who became the first chief executive officer? 08:40:22 7 A. Paul Skofield of Graduate Hospital? 08:40:28 8 Q. Yes. 08:40:30 9 A. Paul Skofield. 08:40:31 10 Q. At the time of the divestiture of Graduate in 08:40:32 11 1977, was there created an independent parent 08:40:36 12 entity either called or as a predecessor to GHS? 08:40:41 13 A. I don't recall. I believe that the only 08:40:51 14 entity that was created was The Graduate Hospital. 08:40:56 15 I believe that's the case. 08:41:01 16 Q. And at the time of the divestiture in 1977, 08:41:04 17 who became the first Chairman of The Graduate 08:41:09 18 Hospital? 08:41:12
1 A. Yes. 08:37:12 2 Q. And did you have anything to do with devising 08:37:13 3 the strategy that led to that spin-off rather than 08:37:19 4 closure of Graduate? 08:37:21 5 A. Yes. 08:37:22 6 Q. Were you in fact the one principally 08:37:26 7 responsible for developing that strategy? 08:37:30 8 A. It was my plan, yes. 08:37:32 9 Q. And am I correct that when that spin-off 08:37:36 10 became effective, that you, from the start, were a 08:37:41 11 member of the Board of Trustees of Graduate 08:37:44 12 Hospital? 08:37:48 13 A. Board of Directors. 08:37:48 14 Q. Pardon me. 08:37:51 15 Is that correct? 08:37:53 16 A. That's correct. 08:37:54 17 Q. And is it the case that from at least 1977 08:37:57 18 onward, up until the time that The Graduate 08:38:00 19 Hospital and associated hospitals were merged into 08:38:08	1 developments affecting the health care industry 08:40:02 2 generally? 08:40:05 3 A. I did; not only because of Graduate, but 08:40:07 4 because of my professional activity. 08:40:10 5 Q. When Graduate became an independent entity in 08:40:18 6 1977, who became the first chief executive officer? 08:40:22 7 A. Paul Skofield of Graduate Hospital? 08:40:28 8 Q. Yes. 08:40:30 9 A. Paul Skofield. 08:40:31 10 Q. At the time of the divestiture of Graduate in 08:40:32 11 1977, was there created an independent parent 08:40:36 12 entity either called or as a predecessor to GHS? 08:40:41 13 A. I don't recall. I believe that the only 08:40:51 14 entity that was created was The Graduate Hospital. 08:40:56 15 I believe that's the case. 08:41:01 16 Q. And at the time of the divestiture in 1977, 08:41:04 17 who became the first Chairman of The Graduate 08:41:09 18 Hospital? 08:41:12 19 A. I it's not clear. The first chairman, I 08:41:21
1 A. Yes. 08:37:12 2 Q. And did you have anything to do with devising 08:37:13 3 the strategy that led to that spin-off rather than 08:37:19 4 closure of Graduate? 08:37:21 5 A. Yes. 08:37:22 6 Q. Were you in fact the one principally 08:37:26 7 responsible for developing that strategy? 08:37:30 8 A. It was my plan, yes. 08:37:32 9 Q. And am I correct that when that spin-off 08:37:36 10 became effective, that you, from the start, were a 08:37:41 11 member of the Board of Trustees of Graduate 08:37:44 12 Hospital? 08:37:48 13 A. Board of Directors. 08:37:48 14 Q. Pardon me. 08:37:51 15 Is that correct? 08:37:53 16 A. That's correct. 08:37:54 17 Q. And is it the case that from at least 1977 08:37:57 18 onward, up until the time that The Graduate 08:38:00	1 developments affecting the health care industry 08:40:02 2 generally? 08:40:05 3 A. I did; not only because of Graduate, but 08:40:07 4 because of my professional activity. 08:40:10 5 Q. When Graduate became an independent entity in 08:40:18 6 1977, who became the first chief executive officer? 08:40:22 7 A. Paul Skofield of Graduate Hospital? 08:40:28 8 Q. Yes. 08:40:30 9 A. Paul Skofield. 08:40:31 10 Q. At the time of the divestiture of Graduate in 08:40:32 11 1977, was there created an independent parent 08:40:36 12 entity either called or as a predecessor to GHS? 08:40:41 13 A. I don't recall. I believe that the only 08:40:51 14 entity that was created was The Graduate Hospital. 08:40:56 15 I believe that's the case. 08:41:01 16 Q. And at the time of the divestiture in 1977, 08:41:04 17 who became the first Chairman of The Graduate 08:41:09 18 Hospital? 08:41:12 19 A. I it's not clear. The first chairman, I 08:41:21 20 believe, was Harold Cramer, I believe. 08:41:26
1 A. Yes. 08:37:12 2 Q. And did you have anything to do with devising 08:37:13 3 the strategy that led to that spin-off rather than 08:37:19 4 closure of Graduate? 08:37:21 5 A. Yes. 08:37:22 6 Q. Were you in fact the one principally 08:37:26 7 responsible for developing that strategy? 08:37:30 8 A. It was my plan, yes. 08:37:32 9 Q. And am I correct that when that spin-off 08:37:36 10 became effective, that you, from the start, were a 08:37:41 11 member of the Board of Trustees of Graduate 08:37:44 12 Hospital? 08:37:48 13 A. Board of Directors. 08:37:48 14 Q. Pardon me. 08:37:51 15 Is that correct? 08:37:53 16 A. That's correct. 08:37:54 17 Q. And is it the case that from at least 1977 08:37:57 18 onward, up until the time that The Graduate 08:38:00 19 Hospital and associated hospitals were merged into 08:38:08	1 developments affecting the health care industry 08:40:02 2 generally? 08:40:05 3 A. I did; not only because of Graduate, but 08:40:07 4 because of my professional activity. 08:40:10 5 Q. When Graduate became an independent entity in 08:40:18 6 1977, who became the first chief executive officer? 08:40:22 7 A. Paul Skofield of Graduate Hospital? 08:40:28 8 Q. Yes. 08:40:30 9 A. Paul Skofield. 08:40:31 10 Q. At the time of the divestiture of Graduate in 08:40:32 11 1977, was there created an independent parent 08:40:36 12 entity either called or as a predecessor to GHS? 08:40:41 13 A. I don't recall. I believe that the only 08:40:51 14 entity that was created was The Graduate Hospital. 08:40:56 15 I believe that's the case. 08:41:01 16 Q. And at the time of the divestiture in 1977, 08:41:04 17 who became the first Chairman of The Graduate 08:41:09 18 Hospital? 08:41:12 19 A. I it's not clear. The first chairman, I 08:41:26 21 There may have been somebody prior 08:41:29
1 A. Yes. 08:37:12 2 Q. And did you have anything to do with devising 08:37:13 3 the strategy that led to that spin-off rather than 08:37:19 4 closure of Graduate? 08:37:21 5 A. Yes. 08:37:22 6 Q. Were you in fact the one principally 08:37:26 7 responsible for developing that strategy? 08:37:30 8 A. It was my plan, yes. 08:37:32 9 Q. And am I correct that when that spin-off 08:37:36 10 became effective, that you, from the start, were a 08:37:41 11 member of the Board of Trustees of Graduate 08:37:44 12 Hospital? 08:37:48 13 A. Board of Directors. 08:37:48 14 Q. Pardon me. 08:37:51 15 Is that correct? 08:37:53 16 A. That's correct. 08:37:54 17 Q. And is it the case that from at least 1977 08:37:57 18 onward, up until the time that The Graduate 08:38:08 20 SDN, that throughout that entire time period, you 08:38:11	1 developments affecting the health care industry 08:40:02 2 generally? 08:40:05 3 A. I did; not only because of Graduate, but 08:40:07 4 because of my professional activity. 08:40:10 5 Q. When Graduate became an independent entity in 08:40:18 6 1977, who became the first chief executive officer? 08:40:22 7 A. Paul Skofield of Graduate Hospital? 08:40:28 8 Q. Yes. 08:40:30 9 A. Paul Skofield. 08:40:31 10 Q. At the time of the divestiture of Graduate in 08:40:32 11 1977, was there created an independent parent 08:40:36 12 entity either called or as a predecessor to GHS? 08:40:41 13 A. I don't recall. I believe that the only 08:40:51 14 entity that was created was The Graduate Hospital. 08:40:56 15 I believe that's the case. 08:41:01 16 Q. And at the time of the divestiture in 1977, 08:41:04 17 who became the first Chairman of The Graduate 08:41:09 18 Hospital? 08:41:12 19 A. I it's not clear. The first chairman, I 08:41:21 20 believe, was Harold Cramer, I believe. 08:41:29 21 There may have been somebody prior 08:41:30
1 A. Yes. 08:37:12 2 Q. And did you have anything to do with devising 08:37:13 3 the strategy that led to that spin-off rather than 08:37:19 4 closure of Graduate? 08:37:21 5 A. Yes. 08:37:22 6 Q. Were you in fact the one principally 08:37:26 7 responsible for developing that strategy? 08:37:30 8 A. It was my plan, yes. 08:37:32 9 Q. And am I correct that when that spin-off 08:37:36 10 became effective, that you, from the start, were a 08:37:41 11 member of the Board of Trustees of Graduate 08:37:44 12 Hospital? 08:37:48 13 A. Board of Directors. 08:37:48 14 Q. Pardon me. 08:37:51 15 Is that correct? 08:37:53 16 A. That's correct. 08:37:54 17 Q. And is it the case that from at least 1977 08:37:57 18 onward, up until the time that The Graduate 08:38:00 19 Hospital and associated hospitals were merged into 08:38:08 20 SDN, that throughout that entire time period, you 08:38:11 21 paid close attention to the business and strategy 08:38:17 22 of The Graduate Hospital? 08:38:21	1 developments affecting the health care industry 08:40:02 2 generally? 08:40:05 3 A. I did; not only because of Graduate, but 08:40:07 4 because of my professional activity. 08:40:10 5 Q. When Graduate became an independent entity in 08:40:18 6 1977, who became the first chief executive officer? 08:40:22 7 A. Paul Skofield of Graduate Hospital? 08:40:28 8 Q. Yes. 08:40:30 9 A. Paul Skofield. 08:40:31 10 Q. At the time of the divestiture of Graduate in 08:40:32 11 1977, was there created an independent parent 08:40:36 12 entity either called or as a predecessor to GHS? 08:40:41 13 A. I don't recall. I believe that the only 08:40:51 14 entity that was created was The Graduate Hospital. 08:40:56 15 I believe that's the case. 08:41:01 16 Q. And at the time of the divestiture in 1977, 08:41:04 17 who became the first Chairman of The Graduate 08:41:09 18 Hospital? 08:41:12 19 A. I it's not clear. The first chairman, I 08:41:26 21 There may have been somebody prior 08:41:29 22 to Harold during some interim period, but I believe 08:41:30 23 Harold was the first chairman. 08:41:34
1 A. Yes. 08:37:12 2 Q. And did you have anything to do with devising 08:37:13 3 the strategy that led to that spin-off rather than 08:37:19 4 closure of Graduate? 08:37:21 5 A. Yes. 08:37:22 6 Q. Were you in fact the one principally 08:37:26 7 responsible for developing that strategy? 08:37:30 8 A. It was my plan, yes. 08:37:32 9 Q. And am I correct that when that spin-off 08:37:36 10 became effective, that you, from the start, were a 08:37:41 11 member of the Board of Trustees of Graduate 08:37:44 12 Hospital? 08:37:48 13 A. Board of Directors. 08:37:48 14 Q. Pardon me. 08:37:51 15 Is that correct? 08:37:53 16 A. That's correct. 08:37:54 17 Q. And is it the case that from at least 1977 08:37:57 18 onward, up until the time that The Graduate 08:38:00 19 Hospital and associated hospitals were merged into 08:38:08 20 SDN, that throughout that entire time period, you 08:38:11 21 paid close attention to the business and strategy 08:38:17 22 of The Graduate Hospital? 08:38:21	1 developments affecting the health care industry 08:40:02 2 generally? 08:40:05 3 A. I did; not only because of Graduate, but 08:40:07 4 because of my professional activity. 08:40:10 5 Q. When Graduate became an independent entity in 08:40:18 6 1977, who became the first chief executive officer? 08:40:22 7 A. Paul Skofield of Graduate Hospital? 08:40:28 8 Q. Yes. 08:40:30 9 A. Paul Skofield. 08:40:31 10 Q. At the time of the divestiture of Graduate in 08:40:32 11 1977, was there created an independent parent 08:40:36 12 entity either called or as a predecessor to GHS? 08:40:41 13 A. I don't recall. I believe that the only 08:40:51 14 entity that was created was The Graduate Hospital. 08:40:56 15 I believe that's the case. 08:41:01 16 Q. And at the time of the divestiture in 1977, 08:41:04 17 who became the first Chairman of The Graduate 08:41:09 18 Hospital? 08:41:12 19 A. I it's not clear. The first chairman, I 08:41:21 20 believe, was Harold Cramer, I believe. 08:41:26 21 There may have been somebody prior 08:41:29 22 to Harold during some interim period, but I believe 08:41:30 23 Harold was the first chairman. 08:41:34 24 Q. And to summarize points that we will touch on 08:41:38
1 A. Yes. 08:37:12 2 Q. And did you have anything to do with devising 08:37:13 3 the strategy that led to that spin-off rather than 08:37:19 4 closure of Graduate? 08:37:21 5 A. Yes. 08:37:22 6 Q. Were you in fact the one principally 08:37:26 7 responsible for developing that strategy? 08:37:30 8 A. It was my plan, yes. 08:37:32 9 Q. And am I correct that when that spin-off 08:37:36 10 became effective, that you, from the start, were a 08:37:41 11 member of the Board of Trustees of Graduate 08:37:44 12 Hospital? 08:37:48 13 A. Board of Directors. 08:37:48 14 Q. Pardon me. 08:37:51 15 Is that correct? 08:37:53 16 A. That's correct. 08:37:54 17 Q. And is it the case that from at least 1977 08:37:57 18 onward, up until the time that The Graduate 08:38:00 19 Hospital and associated hospitals were merged into 08:38:08 20 SDN, that throughout that entire time period, you 08:38:11 21 paid close attention to the business and strategy 08:38:17 22 of The Graduate Hospital? 08:38:25	1 developments affecting the health care industry 08:40:02 2 generally? 08:40:05 3 A. I did; not only because of Graduate, but 08:40:07 4 because of my professional activity. 08:40:10 5 Q. When Graduate became an independent entity in 08:40:18 6 1977, who became the first chief executive officer? 08:40:22 7 A. Paul Skofield of Graduate Hospital? 08:40:28 8 Q. Yes. 08:40:30 9 A. Paul Skofield. 08:40:31 10 Q. At the time of the divestiture of Graduate in 08:40:32 11 1977, was there created an independent parent 08:40:36 12 entity either called or as a predecessor to GHS? 08:40:41 13 A. I don't recall. I believe that the only 08:40:51 14 entity that was created was The Graduate Hospital. 08:40:56 15 I believe that's the case. 08:41:01 16 Q. And at the time of the divestiture in 1977, 08:41:04 17 who became the first Chairman of The Graduate 08:41:09 18 Hospital? 08:41:12 19 A. I it's not clear. The first chairman, I 08:41:26 21 There may have been somebody prior 08:41:29 22 to Harold during some interim period, but I believe 08:41:30 23 Harold was the first chairman. 08:41:34
1 A. Yes. Q. And did you have anything to do with devising 08:37:13 3 the strategy that led to that spin-off rather than 08:37:19 4 closure of Graduate? 08:37:21 5 A. Yes. 08:37:22 6 Q. Were you in fact the one principally 08:37:26 7 responsible for developing that strategy? 08:37:30 8 A. It was my plan, yes. 08:37:32 9 Q. And am I correct that when that spin-off 08:37:36 10 became effective, that you, from the start, were a 08:37:41 11 member of the Board of Trustees of Graduate 08:37:44 12 Hospital? 08:37:48 13 A. Board of Directors. 08:37:48 14 Q. Pardon me. 08:37:51 15 Is that correct? 08:37:53 16 A. That's correct. 08:37:54 17 Q. And is it the case that from at least 1977 08:37:57 18 onward, up until the time that The Graduate 08:38:00 19 Hospital and associated hospitals were merged into 08:38:08 20 SDN, that throughout that entire time period, you 08:38:11 21 paid close attention to the business and strategy 08:38:17 22 of The Graduate Hospital? 08:38:25 24 with "close." I don't know what you mean by 08:38:33	1 developments affecting the health care industry 08:40:02 2 generally? 08:40:05 3 A. I did; not only because of Graduate, but 08:40:07 4 because of my professional activity. 08:40:10 5 Q. When Graduate became an independent entity in 08:40:18 6 1977, who became the first chief executive officer? 08:40:22 7 A. Paul Skofield of Graduate Hospital? 08:40:28 8 Q. Yes. 08:40:30 9 A. Paul Skofield. 08:40:31 10 Q. At the time of the divestiture of Graduate in 08:40:32 11 1977, was there created an independent parent 08:40:36 12 entity either called or as a predecessor to GHS? 08:40:41 13 A. I don't recall. I believe that the only 08:40:51 14 entity that was created was The Graduate Hospital. 08:40:56 15 I believe that's the case. 08:41:01 16 Q. And at the time of the divestiture in 1977, 08:41:04 17 who became the first Chairman of The Graduate 08:41:09 18 Hospital? 08:41:12 19 A. I it's not clear. The first chairman, I 08:41:21 20 believe, was Harold Cramer, I believe. 08:41:26 21 There may have been somebody prior 08:41:29 22 to Harold during some interim period, but I believe 08:41:30 23 Harold was the first chairman. 08:41:34 24 Q. And to summarize points that we will touch on 08:41:38

Page 10	Page 12
1 Chairman of the Board of GHS in 1995? 08:41:43	1 transaction? 08:44:37
2 A. Of the Graduate Health System. 08:41:48	2 A. Or shortly thereafter. 08:44:38
3 Q. Yes. 08:41:51	3 Q. Upon the completion of the SDN transaction, 08:44:41
4 A. As distinguished from Graduate Hospital. 08:41:51	4 did Philadelphia Health Care Trust, or Graduate 08:44:47
5 Q. Yes. 08:41:54	5 Health Systems, whatever its title was, continue to 08:44:52
6 A. That's correct. 08:41:54	6 own any operating health care entities? 08:44:56
7 Q. Do you recall when the structure of GHS as 08:41:56	7 A. No. 08:45:03
8 parent owning The Graduate Hospital was created? 08:42:03	8 Q. As a Board member and chairman well, let me 08:45:23
9 A. I don't recall the date. 08:42:09	9 start again. 08:45:27
10 Q. Do you recall what the immediate cause of the 08:42:13	As chairman of GHS between 1995 and 08:45:28
11 creation of that structure was? 08:42:18	11 1996, can you tell me what your total compensation 08:45:33
12 A. Well, it was part of a corporate 08:42:22	12 from GHS was? This is prior to the time that you 08:45:39
reorganization, which I believe was stimulated by a 08:42:25	13 became CEO. 08:45:42
14 number of activities other than Graduate Hospital 08:42:33	14 A. Prior to becoming CEO, I think my total 08:45:50
15 that we were getting involved in. 08:42:38	15 compensation was as a director. 08:45:54
And upon the recommendation of 08:42:41	16 Q. And can you tell me roughly what that was on 08:45:56
17 counsel, we created GHS as a parent holding 08:42:43	17 an annual basis, including base and meeting fees? 08:45:59
18 company, support organization. I think it was a 08:42:53	18 A. I believe it was a total of \$25,000. 08:46:04
19 501(c)(4), I think that's what it was. 08:42:58	19 Q. And upon taking the position of CEO of GHS, 08:46:09
20 Q. Do you recall approximately when that 08:42:59	20 did you also remain Chairman of the Board of GHS? 08:46:15
21 happened? 08:43:00	21 A. Yes; I was chairman and CEO. 08:46:25
22 A. My sense is early '80s. That's my sense. 08:43:03	22 Q. And, in that capacity, can you tell me roughly 08:46:25
23 Q. And after that time, did there continue to 08:43:09	23 what your annual compensation from GHS or 08:46:26
24 exist a Board of Directors of The Graduate 08:43:10	24 Philadelphia Health Care Trust was? 08:46:30
25 Hospital? 08:43:14	25 A. My salary, which I believe at that time was 08:46:34
Page 11	Page 13
1 A. Oh, yes. 08:43:14	1 \$100,000, and director's fees. 08:46:37
1 1 60 4 09.42.16	2 Q. Again, continuing to be approximately 25,000? 08:46:41
2 Q. And did you continue to be a member of that 08:43:10 3 Board? 08:43:18	3 A. That's correct. 08:46:43
4 A. It's not clear to me whether there was some 08:43:25	4 Q. At that time, that is, when you took the 08:46:45
5 overlap period of time. 08:43:32	5 position as CEO of GHS, what other employment 08:46:51
6 I know that I resigned from that 08:43:34	6 positions, if any, did you hold with other 08:46:59
7 Board and remained on the Board of the parent. I 08:43:38	7 entities? 08:47:02
8 don't recall whether there was some overlap period 08:43:43	8 A. I don't believe I had any other employment 08:47:13
9 of time. 08:43:45	9 activity. 08:47:15
10 Q. But, if so, it was not an extended period of 08:43:46	10 Q. Were you at that time not CEO of any other 08:47:19
11 time? 08:43:48	11 entity? 08:47:21
12 A. I don't believe so. 08:43:49	12 A. In 1996, no. 08:47:23
13 Q. And did you become a member of the Board of 08:43:50	13 Q. Am I correct, however, that you were a Board 08:47:27
14 Directors of GHS at the time GHS was formed? 08:43:52	14 member of a number of other entities at that time? 08:47:30
15 A. Yes. 08:43:56	15 A. I was. 08:47:33
15 11. 105.	
16. O. And am I correct that in 1996, upon the 08:44:01	16 O. Let me show you a document that was marked at 08:47:47
16 Q. And am I correct that in 1996, upon the 08:44:01	1
17 conclusion of the merger of The Graduate Hospital 08:44:04	17 your deposition in the prior litigation, an 08:47:50
17 conclusion of the merger of The Graduate Hospital 08:44:04 18 and other affiliated hospitals into SDN, that you 08:44:07	 17 your deposition in the prior litigation, an 08:47:50 18 incomplete document bearing Bates Nos. 1542 through 08:47:57
17 conclusion of the merger of The Graduate Hospital 08:44:04 18 and other affiliated hospitals into SDN, that you 08:44:07 19 became CEO of Graduate Health Services? 08:44:12	17 your deposition in the prior litigation, an 08:47:50 18 incomplete document bearing Bates Nos. 1542 through 08:47:57 19 1546, and a handwritten designation of Korman 08:48:03
17 conclusion of the merger of The Graduate Hospital 08:44:04 18 and other affiliated hospitals into SDN, that you 08:44:07 19 became CEO of Graduate Health Services? 08:44:12 20 A. I became CEO of Graduate Health Services, 08:44:18	17 your deposition in the prior litigation, an 08:47:50 18 incomplete document bearing Bates Nos. 1542 through 08:47:57 19 1546, and a handwritten designation of Korman 08:48:03 20 Exhibit 9. It is difficult to describe because of 08:48:09
17 conclusion of the merger of The Graduate Hospital 08:44:04 18 and other affiliated hospitals into SDN, that you 08:44:07 19 became CEO of Graduate Health Services? 08:44:12 20 A. I became CEO of Graduate Health Services, 08:44:18 21 which I believe at that time the charter was 08:44:21	17 your deposition in the prior litigation, an 08:47:50 18 incomplete document bearing Bates Nos. 1542 through 08:47:57 19 1546, and a handwritten designation of Korman 08:48:03 20 Exhibit 9. It is difficult to describe because of 08:48:09 21 its fragmentary nature. 08:48:14
17 conclusion of the merger of The Graduate Hospital 08:44:04 18 and other affiliated hospitals into SDN, that you 08:44:07 19 became CEO of Graduate Health Services? 08:44:12 20 A. I became CEO of Graduate Health Services, 08:44:18 21 which I believe at that time the charter was 08:44:21 22 amended and the name was changed to Philadelphia 08:44:27	17 your deposition in the prior litigation, an 08:47:50 18 incomplete document bearing Bates Nos. 1542 through 08:47:57 19 1546, and a handwritten designation of Korman 08:48:03 20 Exhibit 9. It is difficult to describe because of 08:48:09 21 its fragmentary nature. 08:48:14 22 And, Mr. Korman, I am not going to 08:48:31
17 conclusion of the merger of The Graduate Hospital 08:44:04 18 and other affiliated hospitals into SDN, that you 08:44:07 19 became CEO of Graduate Health Services? 08:44:12 20 A. I became CEO of Graduate Health Services, 08:44:18 21 which I believe at that time the charter was 08:44:21 22 amended and the name was changed to Philadelphia 08:44:27 23 Health Care Trust. 08:44:32	17 your deposition in the prior litigation, an 08:47:50 18 incomplete document bearing Bates Nos. 1542 through 08:47:57 19 1546, and a handwritten designation of Korman 08:48:03 20 Exhibit 9. It is difficult to describe because of 08:48:09 21 its fragmentary nature. 08:48:14 22 And, Mr. Korman, I am not going to 08:48:31 23 ask you to vouch for this document. I have read 08:48:33
17 conclusion of the merger of The Graduate Hospital 08:44:04 18 and other affiliated hospitals into SDN, that you 08:44:07 19 became CEO of Graduate Health Services? 08:44:12 20 A. I became CEO of Graduate Health Services, 08:44:18 21 which I believe at that time the charter was 08:44:21 22 amended and the name was changed to Philadelphia 08:44:27 23 Health Care Trust. 08:44:32 24 Q. The name change, as far as you recall, 08:44:32	17 your deposition in the prior litigation, an 08:47:50 18 incomplete document bearing Bates Nos. 1542 through 08:47:57 19 1546, and a handwritten designation of Korman 08:48:03 20 Exhibit 9. It is difficult to describe because of 08:48:09 21 its fragmentary nature. 08:48:14 22 And, Mr. Korman, I am not going to 08:48:31 23 ask you to vouch for this document. I have read 08:48:33 24 your prior testimony about it. But I am going to 08:48:35
17 conclusion of the merger of The Graduate Hospital 08:44:04 18 and other affiliated hospitals into SDN, that you 08:44:07 19 became CEO of Graduate Health Services? 08:44:12 20 A. I became CEO of Graduate Health Services, 08:44:18 21 which I believe at that time the charter was 08:44:21 22 amended and the name was changed to Philadelphia 08:44:27 23 Health Care Trust. 08:44:32	17 your deposition in the prior litigation, an 08:47:50 18 incomplete document bearing Bates Nos. 1542 through 08:47:57 19 1546, and a handwritten designation of Korman 08:48:03 20 Exhibit 9. It is difficult to describe because of 08:48:09 21 its fragmentary nature. 08:48:14 22 And, Mr. Korman, I am not going to 08:48:31 23 ask you to vouch for this document. I have read 08:48:33

BERNARD J. KORIVIAN, ESQ.			
Page 114	Page 116		
Osteopathic such as that reflected in your letter 11:57:01 of September 12? 11:57:02 3 A. No, I do not know. 11:57:04 4 Q. At the time that you wrote this letter in 11:57:36 5 September of 1996 strike that. You probably 11:57:38 didn't write the letter. 11:57:43 At the time you signed this letter 11:57:45 in September of 1996, did The Graduate Hospital or 11:57:46 Mt. Sinai Hospital or the obligated group 11:57:53 comprising those two hospitals have any obligation 11:57:57 for the debts of GHS Osteopathic? 11:57:59 MS. MEADEN: Objection as to form 11:58:07 and foundation. 11:58:08 A. Not to my knowledge. 11:58:10 Q. As of September 1996 did GHS Osteopathic, or 11:58:28 the hospitals comprising GHS Osteopathic, having 11:58:40 impact on The Graduate Hospital or Mt. Sinai 11:58:40 impact on The Graduate Hospital or Mt. Sinai 11:58:40 institutions uncollectable? 11:58:58 MS. MEADEN: Objection. 11:58:58 A. I'm not a bankruptcy attorney, so I really 11:59:00 can't answer that question in the manner in which 11:59:04 you are asking. 11:59:08	Q. Do you know whether any changes to the substance or presentation of the audited financials substance or presentation substance substance or presentation substance substance substance substance or presentation substance subs		
Page 115 1 Q. Let me ask you to answer that question to the 11:59:09 2 extent you have any understanding as a former Board 11:59:13 3 member of the parent entity, GHS. 11:59:16 4 A. I think the question is still the same, in 11:59:21 5 whether there was any legal liability in that 11:59:24 6 regard. 11:59:26 7 And the answer is, I don't know 11:59:28 8 whether there is any legal liability, if that's the 11:59:29 9 question you are asking. 11:59:31 10 Q. You mentioned that Coopers & Lybrand had had 12:00:02 11 involvement in due diligence prior to the 12:00:07 12 finalizing of the 1996 audited financials by 12:00:10 13 Deloitte & Touche; correct? 12:00:13 14 A. Yes. 12:00:14 15 Q. Do you know whether Coopers & Lybrand had any 12:00:15 16 control over the contents or form of the audited 12:00:22 17 financials as finally issued by Deloitte & Touche? 12:00:26 18 A. I know that they were directly involved with 12:00:30 19 Deloitte & Touche, because Mr. Abdelhak asked that 12:00:34 20 they be involved and asked our permission in that 12:00:39 11 regard, which we gave. 12:00:43 22 Q. Do you know what the nature of that 12:00:53 11 involvement was? 12:00:54	Page 117 And I understand that to be the 12:03:03 assurance to GHS Osteopathic; is that correct? 12:03:05 A. Yes, that is. 12:03:08 Yes, that's the second letter that's 12:03:10 attached hereto. 12:03:12 Q. And was a letter in the form appearing at PH 12:03:26 16905 in fact signed and provided to you by a 12:03:30 representative of AHERF? 12:03:35 A. I believe so. 12:03:38 MR. BROOKS: Let me mark as Exhibit 12:04:03 1606, I believe, a letter on SDN letterhead, dated 12:04:05 October 3, 1996, bearing Bates Nos. DC 0618, Pages 12:04:12 13 1 and 2, from Steve Spargo, to Bernard Korman. 12:04:20 (Document marked for identification 12:04:20 15 as Exhibit 606.) 12:04:48 16 BY MR. BROOKS: 12:04:48 17 Q. Mr. Korman, do you believe that you recall 12:04:53 18 seeing this document before? 12:04:55 19 A. I don't have an independent recollection of 12:04:58 20 it, but it certainly does look familiar. 12:05:01 Q. Does this letter appear to you to represent a 12:05:10 guarantee from SDN to assume the GHS obligation to 12:05:14 3 GHS Osteopathic that we have been discussing? 12:05:25		

BERNARD J. KORMAN, ESQ.			
	Page 118		Page 120
		1	of, say, September of 1996 that SDN itself did not 12:09:34
	guarantees com conguitation, and grant	2	have substantial assets? 12:09:39
	Paragraph 2 of the letter which I sent to Deloitte. 12:05:46		A. So far as we knew, it didn't have any assets. 12:09:43
	Q. Yes. But in particular 12:05:51		Q. And you understood, did you not, that the 12:09:53
	A. Yes. The answer is, yes. 12:05:52	4	structure of the proposed merger was that, in the 12:09:56
5	Q. And this letter identifies various sources of 12:05:59	5	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
6	funding which SDN identifies as enabling it to 12:06:05	6	mst mstance, are cracation control of
7	stand behind such guarantees; correct? 12:06:13	7	merged into SDN, not into AHERF? 12:10:01
8	A. The letter speaks for itself. 12:06:16	8	A. No. Our understanding was that there would be 12:10:05
9	Q. Well, is that your understanding of what this 12:06:17	9	a two-step transaction; that first we would go into 12:10:10
10	letter backing up. 12:06:21		SDN, and then we would go into AHERF. 12:10:13
11	Mr. Korman, you were the recipient 12:06:23	11	Q. Did you have any did you receive any 12:10:20
12			representations or assurances as to how long the 12:10:23
13	A. That is correct. 12:06:26	13	period would be between the merger into SDN and the 12:10:28
14		14	roll into AHERF? 12:10:32
	time? 12:06:34	15	A. Yes. I received assurances from Mr. Abdelhak 12:10:33
16	A. They were important to Deloitte at the time. 12:06:34		that within a six-month period of time that would 12:10:39
17		17	occur. 12:10:42
18	various sources of funds which would enable SDN to 12:06:43	18	Q. He told you, did he not, that during that 12:10:42
19	stand behind the guarantees being made here? 12:06:48	19	period of time, they would, among other things, 12:10:45
20	A. There are six sources that are identified in 12:06:53	20	conduct due diligence; correct? 12:10:47
21	the letter; they are the six bullet points at the 12:06:57	21	A. That's correct. 12:10:49
22	bottom of the letter. 12:07:01	22	Q. Did you and he discuss what would be done if, 12:10:57
23	Yes. The answer is, yes. 12:07:03	23	in the course of that due diligence, anything was 12:11:01
24	Q. SDN did not here identify any guarantee from 12:07:08	24	discovered that made it, in the judgment of the 12:11:05
25	AHERF as a source of funds enabling it to stand 12:07:13	25	AHERF Board, inappropriate to consolidate the 12:11:09
	Page 119		Page 121
1	behind its undertakings, did it? 12:07:17	1	Graduate entities into AHERF? 12:11:12
2	MS. MEADEN: Objection. 12:07:20	2	A. I believe in the merger documents, that we had 12:11:13
3	A. This letter is a letter from SDN; it is not a 12:07:27	3	a provision that if it did not occur after a 12:11:16
4	letter from AHERF. 12:07:30	4	certain period of time, that the transaction would 12:11:20
5	MR. BROOKS: Read back the question, 12:07:47	5	unravel. 12:11:24
6	please. 12:07:48	6	Q. Would unwind? 12:11:26
7	(The reporter read the record as 12:07:48	7	A. Unwind. Excuse me, unwind. 12:11:27
8	requested.) 12:08:02	8	Q. Let me ask you to turn to Page 414 of this 12:11:44
9	A. (Continued.) I don't know that that's the 12:08:03	9	same transcript. 12:11:47
10	12.00.04	10	A. Yes. 12:11:49
11	If you look at the second bullet 12:08:05	11	12.12.02
12	12.00.10	1	from Line 7 through Line 24. 12:12:03
13	12.09.12	13	10.10.05
14	12.09.15	14	6 . 4 . 12.12.06
15	12.00.26	15	
16	n 416 C4 D13 1113 12 00 20	16	1 1 1 12.12.14
١	12.09.42	17	1 . 14 . 1
17	A. 416? 12:08:49	18	1.1.11
	Q. Yes, sir. 12:08:50	19	
	Q. 168, Sh. 12:00:30 A. Yes. 12:09:01	1	transaction? 12:12:24
20	A. Yes. 12.09.01		A Right 12:12:27

12:12:27

22 Q. Can you explain to me what assurances you feel 12:12:27 23 that you received from Mr. Abdelhak to that effect? 12:12:33

24 A. I received oral assurances and I received a 12:12:38

25 letter from him to that effect, that that was his 12:12:40

12:09:10

12:09:18

21 A. Right.

21 Q. And let me direct your attention particularly 12:09:03

25~Q.~Was it in fact your understanding at the time <math>~12:09:31

23 24. I am going to ask you to look at that.

24 A. Yes.

22 to the testimony going from Line 10 through Line 12:09:05